# A. 2 (B)

5

7

9

Page 154

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 were less significant.

1

3

4

5

6

7

- O. In the weekend, that's the Saturday the 20th and Sunday the 21st, were there significant changes made in the terms over the weekend leading to the Monday?
- A. Not that I recall.
- 8 Q. Just to pick an example, and I'm going 9 to go through a couple of these, but to pick an
- 10 example of changes affected by the clarification
- 11 letter, would you take a look please at
- 12 paragraph 9 entitled Deletion of Purchase Price

13 Adjustment Provisions. Okay?

14 And you probably, Mr. Berkenfeld, may 15 as well reach into the pile of exhibits and pull out the Asset Purchase Agreement itself because 17 we're going to be doing some comparisons.

18 Now, Section 3.3, you see that 19 paragraph 9 of the clarification letter deletes 20 in its entirety -- well, says, in full, "Section 21 3.3 of the Original Agreement is hereby deleted 22 in its entirety and shall be of no effect ab

- 23 initio." See that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And for context, if you take a look at TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 3.3 of the Asset Purchase Agreement, that's that
- 3 adjustment to cash amount provision we talked
- about before with the \$750 million cap?
- A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Right? Why did that come out?
  - A. I don't know.
- 8 O. Any clue at all?
  - A. No.
- 10 Q. Did you have an idea at the time as to why that came out? 11
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Would you consider a \$750 million, the possibility of a \$750 million benefit to Lehman 14 15 to be significant in the context of this overall 16 deal?
- 17 A. It's just an opinion. I don't know 18 that I'm in a position to answer that.
- 19 O. I'll take that. We'll worry 20 admissibility later. What's your opinion?
- 21 A. It really depends on likelihood of 22 whether that amount was ever going to be paid.
- 23 Q. Okay. Would you have been in a 24 position to assess that likelihood on the 20th

of September, four days after the Asset Purchase TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 156

Page 157

Page 155

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 Agreement?

- 3 A. 'I don't think anyone in the world
- 4 would be.

1

- 5 Q. Okay. So you have a letter dated as
- 6 of September 20 that eliminates that provision
- 7 from an agreement made four days before. With
- 8 that as context, can you recall when you signed
- this agreement you had a sense of whether this
- 10 was a material or significant change?
- 11 A. I don't recall.
- 12 Q. Do you know when that -- I'll show
- 13 them to you, but we talked about drafts of the
- 14 clarification letter. Do you know when in the
- 15 sequence of events between the 17th and the 22nd
- 16 that provision was added to the clarification
- 17 letter?
- 18 A. I don't.
- 19 Q. Do you know at whose behest it was
- 20 added?
- 21 A. I don't.
- Q. Do you know which side of the table at 22
- 23 whose behest was it? Was it a Lehman
- 24 requirement or a Barclays requirement?
- 25 A. I don't.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 Q. Do you know why the letter is dated as 3 of September 20?
  - A. No.

1

4

8

9

11

- 5 Q. Do you have a recollection of any
- discussion of dating it as of September 20 as 7
  - opposed to the date on which it was signed?
    - A. No recollection.
  - O. Do you recall seeing a draft dated
- 10 September 21?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Were you party to any discussion about 12
- 13 whether or not the terms of the clarification
- 14 letter should be submitted for approval by the
- 15 court?
- 16 A. I was not part of any of those
- 17 discussions.
- 18 Q. Did you hear any discussion along
- 19 those lines?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Is that an issue that occurred to you
- 22 when you signed the agreement?
- 23 A. Not that I recall, no.
- 24 O. Do you know what was done by way of
- 25 bringing the clarification letter to the court's

Page 158 Page 159 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 attention? 2 something should be presented to the court 3 3 A. I don't. of a Lehman executive pre-acquisition. 4 MR. STERN: To the extent that you ask 4 That's all I'm saying. I'm just alerting 5 questions that may call for disclosure of 5 you to it. 6 privileged conversations, that's your call 6 MR. GAFFEY: Okay. And all I'm saying 7 as to whether you ask those questions. 7 is I neither agree or disagree with you. 8 MR. BYMAN: Could you speak up just a 8 I'm not taking a position yet. I'll deal 9 9 little bit? with it when we have an issue. 10 MR. STERN: Yeah. Sure. To the 10 MR. STERN: Fair enough. 11 extent that you ask questions that may call 11 (Exhibit 26, Notice of Filing of 12 for disclosure of privileged conversations, 12 Purchase Agreement, marked for 13 that's your call as counsel for Lehman. I 13 identification, as of this date.) 14 just want to put on the record that we will 14 Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, I have put before you 15 take the position that that constitutes a 15 a document entitled "Notice of Filing of 16 subject matter waiver and we'll reserve our Purchase Agreement Approved by Order Under 11 17 rights in that regard. 17 U.S.C.," et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. 18 MR. GAFFEY: I think you're reserving 1.8 Have you seen that document before? 19 rights about an event that hasn't happened 19 A. I don't think I have. 20 yet. I'll deal with it if it happens, okay? 20 Q. Do you know when it was filed? 21 I get the point. I know that's an 21 A. I don't know when it was filed. 22 issue. I'm not trying to be glibly about 22 Q. Nothing further about that. 23 this, but let's deal with it when it occurs. 23 Now, back into the purchase -- I'm 24 MR. STERN: Well, you asked a question 24 sorry, the clarification letter, sir. There's, 25 about any discussions about whether and again, in paragraph 1(A) subsection 2, TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 160 Page 161 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 concerning the definition of "purchased assets," 2 Q. And there's a reference in that same 3 when the agreement speaks -- when the 3 paragraph to a Schedule A and a Schedule B. Do 4 clarification letter speaks in terms of 4 you see that? 5 5 securities owned by LBI and transferred, A. Yes. transferred to purchaser or its affiliates under 6 Q. Okay. Do you know what those are 7 the Barclays Repurchase Agreement, do you know 7 references to? 8 if that verb was selected deliberately? 8 A. No. 9 A. This is in, I'm sorry, which section 9 Q. Have you any understanding of what -again? 10 10 either with or without regard to the 11 clarification letter, do you have any 11 Q. We're in 1(A)(ii)? 12 12 understanding of what Schedule A and Schedule B A. (A)(ii). were in connection with the assets transferred 13 Q. On the first page concerning purchased 13 14 from Lehman to Barclays? 14 assets. A. "Transferred," the word "transferred" 15

16 in which section? 17 Q. 1(A)(ii).

A. But in which sub clause? Right in the 18

19 third line?

20 Q. Sub clause capital A.

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. Which refers to securities owned by

23 LBI and, quote/unquote, transferred?

24 A. No, I don't. I don't know why that

25 word was chosen.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

A. All I know is what I'm reading in the 15 agreement.

16 17

Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement in or knowledge of the assembly of schedules of 18 19 assets that were -- Schedule A, which were 20 assets that were contained within the Repurchase

21 Agreement, and Schedule B, which were additional

22 assets, to show what was transferred from Lehman

23 to Barclays?

24 A. No, I did not.

25 Q. Is that an event or an activity or an TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

3

11

12

13

14

15

22

9

13

22

Page 162

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

issue that has crossed your screen, that has come to your attention at any point since?

- A. Not that I recall.
- 5 Q. So would you know who I would ask, who would -- who would know about the assembly and 6 7 the contents of Schedule A and Schedule B?
- 8 A. I think that Jim Seery. John Coughlin 9 might know.
- 10 Q. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

- 11 A. Bart may know. I don't know.
- 12 Q. When you signed the agreement, did you
- 13 know what Schedule A and Schedule B were that
- were described in but not attached to the
- 15 clarification letter?
- 16 A. Just as it represented in the section.
- 17 Nothing more than what's in the agreement.
- 18 Q. Nothing more than the language of the
- 19 agreement? 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You know, with respect to both the --
- 22 actually, all three of the Asset Purchase
- 23 Agreement, the First Amendment, which we marked 23
- as Exhibit 24, and the clarification letter,
- 25 which is marked as Exhibit 25, why did you sign

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

them? Why are you the guy who signs them?

- A. I signed the Asset Purchase Agreement
- 4 because at the time there was nobody else who
- 5 was an authorized officer of Lehman Brothers
- Holdings available to sign it there present who
- 7 could sign it, and to some extent, I think the
- 8 amendments and the clarification letter were
- 9 brought to me by the counsel just for
- 10 consistency after that.

Q. Now, you said there was no other one authorized to sign on behalf of LBHI present who could sign it. I note the distinction there.

Was from someone on behalf of LBI present who could sign it? Withdrawn.

- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Actually, that question is misleading 18 as it stands. Let me withdraw it.

19 On the 16th, that is, before Lehman 20 Brothers, Inc. files for bankruptcy protection, 21 so on the 16th --

- A. That wasn't before we filed.
- Q. Let me be -- I've confused this record and this is entirely my fault. Let me give you 25 two dates to deal with. One is Lehman Brothers

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 164

Page 165

Page 163

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 Holdings, Inc. files on the 15th. Lehman
- 3 Brothers, Inc., the broker-dealer, files on the
- 19th, okay? So I want to just be on the 16th 4
- 5 where the state of play is LBHI is filed, but
- 6 LBI is not.

1

7

9

18

The negotiators included Mr. McDade.

8 Was he not authorized to sign on behalf of LBHI?

- A. He was.
- 10 Q. Was he not present?
- 11 A. He wasn't present at the time the
- document was ready to be signed. 12
- 13 Q. Let me back this up a little bit.
- 14 This is -- I'm sort of curious about this.
- 15 Where are you when you sign this? Who is in the 16 room?
- 17 A. We are ---
  - MR. STERN: "This" is?
- Q. Good point. "This" is the Asset 19
- 20 Purchase Agreement.
- A. We're in that conference room that I 21
- 22 had described earlier.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. In the corner of the 32nd floor.
- 25 O. Right.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- A. And day goes into night and night goes
- 3 into day, but at the time when the agreement had
- 4 been finalized by the lawyers and ready for
- 5 signature, Bart McDade and other potential
- signatories for Lehman Brothers Holdings were
- 7 not still there as the lawyers were finishing up
- 8 the drafting of the document.
  - O. Okay.
- 10 A. Just to make this easier for you,
- 11 there are not a lot of authorized signatures of
- 12 Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc.
  - Q. Okay.
- 14 A. I don't know who was employees and
- what payroll they were on that had other reasons 15
- 16 for that, but the employees of Lehman were not
- 17
- technically, not from necessarily a tax
- 18 standpoint, but they were officers of Lehman
- 19 Brothers, Inc. So anyone who was a managing
- 20 director of Lehman Brothers was a managing
- 21 director of Lehman Brothers, Inc.
  - Q. Right. But not necessarily Holdings?
- 23 A. Lehman Brothers Holdings was our
- 24 public company, so it included Dick Fuld, it
- included Bart McDade, it included Ian Lowitt,

Page 166

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 and then others who you would see as potential
- 3 16 files. But there is a relatively small group
- of officers of Holdings who could sign for the
- 5 parent company.

1

6

- Q. Okay. So --
- 7 A. So Skip McGee, for instance, was not
- 8 an officer of Lehman Brothers Holdings.
- 9 Q. I gotcha. And you sign it on the
- 16th, early morning of the 16th? "It" being the 10
- Asset Purchase Agreement. 11
- 12 A. 16th is Tuesday?
- 13
- Q. Yes.
  A. I don't recall if it was early morning 14
- 15 or later in the day after an all-nigher. I
- don't remember the exact time.
- 17 Q. I'm going to give you something I
- 18 never go anywhere without. It's a blank
- 19 calendar of the week. It's of the month of
- 20 September 2008. I'll show you which are the
- 21 Tuesdays and which are the Wednesdays.
- 22 A. All right. I don't remember what
- 23 point of the day on the 16th, but it needed to
- 24 be signed --

1

25 Q. Right.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 167

- A. -- so that it was signed for the
- 3 hearing, the bankruptcy court hearing on that
- 4 day.

1

2

5

- O. Okav. Okav.
- 6 A. So we were under some time pressure to 7 actually have a signed document to go into court
- 8 with.
- 9 Q. All right. Now, and then when the
- 10 time comes during the week for the First
- 11 Amendment to be signed and either on the Sunday
- 12 or Monday the clarification letter to be signed,
- 13 the reason you signed it is consistency; you
- 14 signed the first one?
- 15 A. Weil Gotshal brings, you signed the
- 16 first one, here's the amendment, here's the
- 17 clarification. To clarify, there were some
- 18 elements of the clarification that I had more
- 19 involvement in, but I was much -- and not the
- 20 part you were asking about, not the financings
- 21 and the repo and the assets that are going over,
- but things along the lines of should this
- 23 subsidiary go in or be out, what businesses are
- 24 transferring.

25

1

2

6

9

Q. Right.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 168

Page 169

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. I spent a lot more time, my time,
- during that week with things that, you know, in 3
- 4 hindsight, might seem not all that significant,
- but were the South American retail businesses
- going, was Eagle Energy going, was the Israeli 6 7 office going.
- 8 Q. Canada was another issue?
- 9 A. IMD, Canada. So there was a lot of my
- time on what were the, not the securities
- 11 position, but what were the businesses that were
- 12 being brought into the deal.
- 13 O. Now, when IMD was an issue, whether or
- 14 not IMD was going over, there was an issue as to
- whether additional employees from IMD should be
- 16 included in the preexisting bonus pool that was
- referred to or whether additional funds would be
- 18 set aside; is that right?
- 19 A. I don't recall that. I was not part
- 20 of those discussions.
- 21 Q. Well, let's go through some changes --
- 22 some provisions in the clarification letter.
- 23 Let me get a sense of what your knowledge is of
- both the reason for these and the history of 24
- them, okay?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

We dealt with paragraph 9. Let's take a look at paragraph 13, please. It's at page 5.

4 Let me know when you've had a chance to read 5 that page.

- (Document review.)
- 7 A. Okay. 8
  - Q. Okay. You've had chance to look that through?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You see in there the following
- 12 language: "Effective at closing, all" -- let me
- start that again. "Effective at closing (i) all
- 14 securities and other assets held by Purchaser
- 15 under the September 18, 2008 Repurchase
- 16 Agreement among Purchaser and/or its Affiliates
- 17 and LBI and/or its Affiliates and Bank of New
- 18 York as Collateral Agent, defined as the
- 19 Barclays Repurchase Agreement, shall be deemed
- to constitute part of the Purchased Assets in
- 21 accordance with paragraph 1(A)(ii) above." And
- 22 that's only part of the paragraph.
- 23 Any knowledge, sir, as to why the
- 24 assets that were with the collateral agent for
  - the Barclays Repurchase Agreement were deemed to

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 170

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 constitute part of the purchased assets?

3

1

- 4 Q. None at all? You're pausing a little 5 bit there.
- 6 A. No, not really. I mean, the provision seemed to fit, but I'm reading the agreement the
- 8 same as you are. I don't have any other
- 9 knowledge of it.
- 10 Q. Okay. And further down, there is the
- 11 following quote: "Additionally, the Notice of
- Termination relating to the Barclays Repurchase 12
- Agreement dated September 19, 2008, is hereby
- 14 deemed rescinded and void ab initio in all
- 15 respects." You see that language?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you have any understanding as to
- 18 why the Notice of Termination needed to be
- 19 rescinded and void ab initio in all respects?
- 20 A. No.

1

7

8

14

16

- 21 (Exhibit 27, a document bearing Bates
- 22 Nos. BCI-EX-00109164 through 109165, marked
- 23 for identification, as of this date.)
- 24 Q. I have marked as Exhibit 27, Mr.
- 25 Berkenfeld, a two-page document bearing Bates

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 171 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

number BCI-EX-00109164 through 65.

Take a look at it, please, and tell me if you've ever seen this document before.

- A. I've never seen it before.
- Q. You can put it aside. Nothing further on that.

8 Do you have any recollection of when, 9, if at all, during the -- I keep calling it a week, but during the six days between Tuesday, the 16th, and Monday, the 22nd, at the closing, 12 when during that week a concept of terminating 13 or otherwise adjusting the parties' rights under 14 a Repurchase Agreement was an issue?

- 15 A. I don't recall at all. I really had
- 16 very little -- no involvement in the whole
- 17 financing, repo arrangement.
- 18 Q. Do you have any knowledge of whether 19 the repo arrangement was used as a mechanism to 20 transfer to Barclays Lehman assets at a
- 21 discount?
- A. I have no knowledge. 22
- 23 Q. Would you take a look at Exhibit 21,
- 24 which is in the pile in front of you. 25
  - A. Oh, Exhibit 21?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 172

1

4

5

6

10

16

Page 173

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 Q. Yes. That's the September 18 e-mail

from Reilly to Lowitt, and again, I'm directing

your attention down to its paragraph 3. We

5 talked about this before. It had the language

- about a block discount. You see where I am? 6
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. And there's this sentence -- well,
- let's me read the first two sentences of that
- paragraph. "Not clear on the amount of block
- 11 discount or how we make it happen. Defaulting
- on repo could be the best, as discount could be 12
- 13 taken from the haircut."

Any understanding of what that

- 15 sentence means?
  - A. None.
- 17 Q. I might be able to give you what my
- partner calls the gift of time with the 18
- 19 following question.

20 Do you know how repo works?

- 21 A. Generally.
- 22 Q. Okay. Was that within your area of
- 23 responsibility?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. And so I'm clear, was it within your

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

area of responsibility back in the days before 3

the bankruptcy, or the responsibilities that you

had in connection with the bankruptcy and the making of these agreements?

A. In the 21 years I was at Lehman, it

7 was never in the area of my responsibility. It

8 was an area, a specialized area, that I really

9 had very little familiarity with.

> MR. STERN: Bob, at some point if you want to take a break to sort through notes.

11 12 MR. GAFFEY: Now might not be a bad

13 time, because I think, based on the last

14 couple of minutes, I may be able to cut some 15 stuff out.

(Recess; Time Noted: 1:48 P.M.)

17 (Time Noted: 1:54 P.M.)

18 BY MR. GAFFEY:

- 19 Q. I think you made a reference earlier
- 20 today, Mr. Berkenfeld, and if not, let me
- 21 introduce the topic: Was there a point in
- 22 between the 16th and the 22nd where it became
- 23 evident or known to you or told to you that
- 24 Lehman would not be able to deliver to Barclays
- all of the assets that had been considered for

3

8

9

10

Page 174

Page 175

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- transfer as part of the Asset Purchase
- 3 Agreement, that they couldn't get their hand on what was supposed to go over to Barclays?
- 5 A. I really wasn't a part of all of those
- 6 discussions --

1

8

- 7 Q. Uh-huh.
  - A. -- resolving those issues.
- 9 O. Right.
- 10 A. So I don't recall really being in the
- 11 loop on that.
- 12 O. What we talked about a bit before is
- 13 you discovered in court on the 19th the change
- from 70 to what you thought was about 42, right?
- 15 And I think I asked you, and rather than go look
- 16 for it, I think I asked you if you had a sense
- 17 of that was a diminution in value of the
- 18 existing classes of assets or a reduction in the
- 19 total number of assets being transferred, and
- 20 you didn't know one way or the other?
- 21 A. I wouldn't know one way or the other.
- 22 O. There were -- and again, for the sake
- 23 of efficiency, I'll set a factual basis here so
  - you'll understand the topic that I want to ask
- 25 you about.

1

7

8

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

We've seen some documents that discuss

efforts to find additional collateral,

additional securities to send over to Barclays.

5 Is that a topic that hit your screen that you

- 6 were involved with in any way? 7
  - A. I wasn't involved with it.
  - Q. Do you have any knowledge about, for example, looking for what are called 15c3 securities?
- 11 A. I wasn't involved in any of that. I
- 12 was not involved in any of that.
- 13 Q. Did you hear anything about that?
- 14 A. Nothing that I can recall
- 15 specifically.
- 16 Q. Similarly, were you involved or did 17 you come to have any knowledge about an effort to find securities in clearance boxes that could 18
- 19 be turned over from Lehman to Barclays?
- 20 A. I wasn't involved in any of those
- 21 discussions.
- 22 O. So, back to the clarification letter,
- 23 in paragraph 1(A)(ii), where the definition of
- "purchased assets" is changed to include, and 24
- now I'm quoting, "(B) such securities and other

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 176

1

3

6

9

18

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 assets held in LBI's clearance boxes as of the
- 3 time of closing, which at the close of business
- 4 on September 21, 2008 were as specified on
- 5 Schedule B, previously delivered by Seller and
- accepted by Purchaser," blah, blah, blah. 6

When you signed the letter, did you have an understanding of what was meant by the

- 9 "assets held in LBI's clearing boxes"?
- 10 A. No more so than it's described in the 11 agreement.
- 12 Q. And the agreement itself says "no 13 extraneous knowledge of it"?
- 14 A. No. That's a good way to put it.
- 15 Thank you.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you know 16
- 17 what clearance boxes are being referred to
- 18 there?

19

23

- A. I do not.
- 20 Q. As you sit here today, have you ever
- 21 seen the Schedule B to which the letter you
- 22 signed referred?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- 24 Q. And as you sit here today, have you
- 25 ever seen the Schedule A that the clarification

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 177

- letter you signed refers to?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Take a look, if you would -- I'm over 4
  - on page 2 at subsection 3 of paragraph 1(A),
  - referring to the equity of Lehman Brothers
- 7 Canada, Lehman Brothers South America, Lehman
- 8 Brothers Uruguay, S.A., you see that?
- 10 Q. That's one of the issues you were
- involved in, what's going, what's not going? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. But when you were involved in what's
- going and what's not going, it was not with 14
- 15 respect to the securities that were transferred,
- 16 it was respect to other things, which parts of
- 17 the business that were going?
  - A. It was, when I was involved, the
- business points of this clarification letter 19
- 20 that I was involved in had more to do with what
- 21 businesses were going.
- 22 O. Okay.
- 23 A. Meaning was the Canadian business
- going, was the South American business going. 24
- That happened to be housed in these

12

13

14

15

17

18

22

25

2

17

23

Page 178

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 subsidiaries. Like, in order to transfer the 3 business, you needed to transfer the 4

subsidiaries.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

1

I didn't really have -- I didn't have involvement in the securities that were being transferred and the financing of it and what was happening with the Fed and JPMorgan and Barclays. I didn't have any involvement in

11 Q. During the weekend of the 20th and the 12 21st, did it come -- withdrawn. At any time in 13 between the signing and the closing, did it come 14 to your attention that there were -- there was 15 an issue with respect to -- just answer this yes 16 or no, please -- that there was an issue with respect to JPMorgan that could be an obstacle to 18 completing the closing?

A. Yes.

20 Q. And describe for me, if you would, 21 what the issue was, as you understood it?

22 A. That's difficult to do because it's a 23 while ago and I wasn't directly involved, but I 24 was at Weil Gotshal on Sunday and there were 25 three-way negotiations going on between the Fed, TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 JPMorgan and Barclays that to some extent it 3 would be fairly characterized as Lehman not

4 really being at the table on those.

5 But, as a matter of fact, there were 6 negotiations going on in separate rooms between 7 Barclays and JPMorgan to sort that out that no 8 representative of Lehman Brothers was included 9 in. So I don't know what the nature of the 10 issue really was.

> MR. STERN: When your questions ask about the time period between the signing and the closing --

MR. GAFFEY: Between the 16th and 22nd.

16 MR. STERN: Okay.

### Q. Were there also separate discussions, not involving Lehman, between Barclays and DTC?

19 A. I wouldn't know firsthand. I believe 20 there were, but I don't know what they were and 21 when they were.

### Q. Why do you believe there were?

23 A. I just believe there were. I don't 24 know why.

> Q. Totally apart from anything else I TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 180

Page 181

Page 179

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 have asked you up till now, I should have asked

3 you this before, since you went over to

4 Barclays, and other than in conversations with

5 Barclays' counsel, which I don't want to know

about, have you had discussions with Barclays

7 personnel, Barclays legacy personnel that didn't

8 transfer over from Lehman, about the deal and

9 the events of that week and how the deal went

and whether Barclays got what it paid for and

11 other similar topics?

12 A. No. No, I have not. I can't recall 13 any conversations like that.

14 Q. And again, you're being a little

15 emphatic with your answer on that. Is that 16 because -- have you deliberately not had those

17 conversations or it just hasn't happened? 18

A. It's not because I deliberately haven't had it. I just was never -- no one on

20 the other side ever had those conversations with

21 me.

22 Q. And in the initial period of your 23 employ by Barclays, let's call it the first week or two after you go over to Barclays, are you

drawn into or involved in any discussions about

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

implementation issues: Did the assets make it over here? Did the right people get over here?

4 A. I spent a lot of my time initially

5 after the closing on continuing Lehman issues,

for instance, negotiating the Neuberger

7 transaction. Again, this was a situation where

8 there was no one else that was interested in

9 doing it or willing to do it, so I spent another

10 week at Weil Gotshal on that transaction.

11 Later on, a few weeks later, I was 12 involved to some extent, and for a limited

13 period of time, on the issue of clients'

securities getting moved over and the issue with

15 the DTC there. It was really about -- it was

16 really about client issues.

Q. Right.

18 A. Client accounts that might not have 19

gotten whatever, the munis or the treasuries, 20 that were supposed to go over and where were

21 those securities and how were we going to deal

22 with that particular issue.

Q. Generally put, so I can maybe move

24 this off the table of things I need to ask you 25

about, when you say that, you're talking about

Page 183

Page 185

Page 182 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 the segregated assets that are in client That's what I want to do for the next sort of 3 accounts, making sure they were safely ACATed sequence here, but I want all the documents in 4 other otherwise moved over to Barclays? 4 front of you at once, okay? 5 A. There was issues with the S.E.C., with 5 A. Okay. 6 Hughes Hubbard, with DTC, you know, all that, 6 (Exhibit 28, a document bearing Bates 7 were the clients' assets in their accounts being 7 Nos. BCI-CG00011047 through 11050, marked made whole. So I was involved in that part of 8 for identification, as of this date.) 9 9 it, but if I understand your question correctly, (Exhibit 29, a document bearing Bates 10 it's not what you were asking about. 10 Nos. 10284821 through 10279830, marked for I really wasn't involved at all on 11 11 identification, as of this date.) 12 whether -- I didn't switch hats and become part 12 (Exhibit 30, an e-mail string, the 13 of Barelays and say where are those assets that 13 first of which from P. Dowd to A. Keller, et 14 should have come from Lehman? I wasn't involved 14 al., marked for identification, as of this 15 in anything like that. 15 date.) 16 Q. 'I don't mean taking Barclays' side of 16 (Exhibit 31, a document bearing Bates 17 anything. 17 Nos. 10279029 through 10279862, marked for A. No, I mean I wasn't involved in that 18 18 identification, as of this date.) 19 on either side. 19 (Exhibit 32, a document bearing Bates 20 Q. I'm going to give you a series of 20 Nos. BCI-EX-00059913 through 59929, marked 21 drafts of the clarification letter and ask you 21 for identification, as of this date.) 22 to look through them and then, in one form or 22 (Exhibit 33, a document bearing Bates 23 another, let's go through them and you can just 23 Nos. 10284801 through 10286514, marked for 24 tell me if you've seen them before and if it 24 identification, as of this date.) 25 25 refreshes your recollection about some stuff. (Exhibit 34, a document bearing Bates TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 184 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 2 Nos. 10279028 through 10279851, marked for 2008 to Archie Cox, Steven Berkenfeld and others 3 identification, as of this date.) 3 from Victor Lewkow. Bears Bates numbers 4 (Exhibit 35, a document bearing Bates 4 BCI-CG00011047 through 11050. 5 Nos. 10284822 and 10279863 through 10279864, 5 Any independent recollection of seeing 6 marked for identification, as of this date.) 6 that document before, Mr. Berkenfeld? 7 (Exhibit 36, a document bearing Bates 7 A. It's e-mailed to me, but I don't know 8 Nos. BCI-CG00024252 through 24271, marked 8 that I spent any time substantively with it. 9 for identification, as of this date.) 9 Q. Okay. And two things I wanted to ask 10 (Exhibit 37, a document bearing Bates 10 you about that. One is compared to the final 11 Nos. BCI-CG00024954 through 24973, marked 11 that you signed on either the Sunday night or 12 for identification, as of this date.) 12 the Monday, it's a much shorter clarification 13 (Exhibit 38, a document bearing Bates 13 letter, correct? 14 Nos. 10283756 with attachments, marked for 14 A. Yes. 15 identification, as of this date.) 15 Q. And I take note of the fact that a 16 clarification letter of any kind is being 16 A. Okav. Q. Okay. 17 prepared as early as September 17. Is there a

18

19

20

21

19 Q. The letter? 20 A. Yes. 21 O. Well, somebody's shown you my outline. 22 The first one that I have put before you which we have marked as Exhibit 28 is a draft of the clarification letter under an e-mail from a Lillian Raben dated September 17, TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Certainly got a lot longer.

18

A.

A. I don't recall. I don't recall why it 22 was started that early. 23 Q. Okay. And I will tell you, although,

reason a clarification letter started to be

**Asset Purchase Agreement?** 

prepared that soon after the signing of the

24 you know, we're all sort of still looking for and at documents, this is the earliest version I

5

12

13

Page 186

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 have found, and it is a Cleary Gottlieb draft, 3 as denoted by its header.

Does that refresh -- well, do you have any knowledge, sir, of whose idea it was that a clarification letter would need to be done? Did it emanate with Cleary?

A. I don't recall.

9 Q. Now, the next exhibit, number 29, is a 10 draft of the clarification letter under an

e-mail from David Murgio at Weil to Berkenfeld,

12 Steven, and then a distribution list. And it

13 attaches in the bottom e-mail, there's a

14 redaction of a communication from Mr. Murgio to

you, but in the e-mail at the bottom, he simply

16 is forwarding an e-mail that he also sent to

17 Lillian with their Weil comments on that letter.

18 Again, any independent recollection of 19 seeing this?

20 A. Independent recollection as I sit here

21 now, no.

1

4

5

6

7

8

22 Q. Other than the fact that it reflects

23 it was sent to you as an e-mail?

24 A. Yes. And I do have recollection over

25 the course of that week about the back and forth

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 187

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

about is Canada included, is IMD included, and

3 that's reflected in some of these letters.

Q. In the drafts?

A. Yes.

6 Q. Now, the next document you have should

be marked as Exhibit 30, and it should be a

draft dated -- or an e-mail dated September 18,

2008 at 6:43 P.M. from Andy Keller to Steve

10 Berkenfeld entitled Revised Clarification

Letter? 11

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And it transmits an e-mail that was 14 sent both to Weil and to personnel at Barclays

15 with further revisions of the letter. Same

16 question: Any independent recollection apart

17 from the fact that it reflects it was an e-mail sent to you?

18

19 A. No, no independent recollection, and I

20 don't recall whether I was staying current with

21 the drafts as they were coming through.

22 O. Okay. And if you would, please, take

23 a look at Exhibit 31, which should be an e-mail 24 from David Murgio at Weil to Victor Lewkow at

Cleary and others, including you in the CCs, TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 188

Page 189

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 with a document number of 10279029 and an 3 attachment entitled WGM Comments, September 18 **7:30.** <sup>1</sup> 4

Same questions: Any independent recollection apart from the fact that it reflects it was sent to you as an e-mail?

8 A. No independent recollection of this 9

1

5

6

7

10 Q. Now, take a look, please, at what we

11 have marked as Exhibit 32, which is an e-mail

12 containing a draft of a clarification letter,

13 bearing Bates Nos. BCI-EX00059913 through 929.

14 It's an e-mail from Jonathan Hughes to Rich

15 Ricci and others at Barclays, and there's an

16 e-mail chain below that.

17 Now, you're not on this chain, so --18 I'm going to ask you anyway, have you seen this 19 before?

20 A. Not this e-mail, no.

21 Q. Okay. During that time period, now

22 we're on the 19th, we're at the Friday, is there

23 a point where you ceased -- where you're not

24 getting each draft, where you're sort of out of

25 that loop?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELI

A. These are all Barclays people on this

list. So this is a draft that's sent from David

4 Murgio --

1

2

13

16

25

5 Q. Right.

6 A. -- to Victor Lewkow, Cleary Gottlieb,

7 counsel for Barclays. He forwards it to

8 Barclays' attorneys, Richard Smith in

9 particular. Richard Smith focuses it to other

10 attorneys and Jonathan Hughes gets it and gives

it over to the, I guess, in his view, the key 11

12 business people.

> O. Right. The reason I asked the question, go to the last e-mail in the sequence,

14 15 which would be at the end of it at page 59914.

A. Uh-huh.

17 Q. Okay, you've got Murgio to Lewkow at

18 Cleary, copy a bunch of people at Cleary? See

19 where I am?

20

21 Q. And you're not in that list, and it

says, "Please find the redraft of the clarifying 22

23 letter," right?

24 A. I don't know why I'm not on the list.

Q. This is sort of a more general

Page 190

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 question that springs out of this document, at

- 3 least in my mind. Is there a point where you're
- no longer seeing drafts? As opposed to, you
- know, maybe not seeing a draft here and there,
- 6 is there a point where the drafts stop coming to
- 7 you?

1

- 8 A. I don't recall.
- 9 Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection of 10 that occurring to you at the time?
- 11 A. I don't recall any deliberate event or
- 12 demarcation that said don't send me drafts
- 13 anymore. I don't know why.
- 14 Q. Actually, that's a better question
- 15 than the one I'm asking. Did you ever say I
- 16 don't want to see drafts of this any more?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
- 18 Q. Exhibit 33 should be an e-mail dated
- 19 September 19, 2008 from Andy Keller to
- 20 Berkenfeld and Emma Bailey regarding CGSH
- comments on the clarifying letter, and it simply
- 22 forwards an e-mail from Robert Davis to Robert
- 23 Murgio and others, including people at both
- 24 Barclays and Lehman.
- 25 This has got attached to it a

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 192

was all the way down on Bowling Green, and

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

3 people were there pretty far ahead of the actual 4

commencement of the proceeding. 5

Q. When you're down there, are you -- did you ask anybody where are we on the

7 clarification letter?

- 8 A. Not that I recall. I also had my
- 9 BlackBerry checked with security.
- 10 Q. You had one with a phone?
- 11 A. Uh-huh.

1

2

- 12 Q. Okay. If you take a look, Mr.
- 13 Berkenfeld, at Exhibit 34, it should be an
- e-mail from Keller to Berkenfeld forwarding an
- 15 e-mail from Messineo to Lewkow and a
- 16 distribution list. The text of that e-mail
- 17 says, quote --

18

20

Well, actually, the same question.

- 19 Seen it before? Any independent recollection?
  - A. No independent recollection.
- 21 Q. The text of the e-mail that Mr. Keller
- 22 is forwarding says, "Please see the current
- 23 draft. The exhibit must be revised to reflect
- 24 the latest deal with DTC. This is being
- 25 distributed separately. A copy marked to show

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 191

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 handwritten CGSH markup of 9:19 A.M. Do you see

3 that?

4

5

9

16

17

24

1

5

6

- A. I do.
- Q. This one at least looks different than
- the others. Any recollection of seeing that
- 7 document?
- 8 A. No, no recollection.
  - At this point, also, at 1:20 on
- 10 Friday, I'm not sure when things started at
- 11 bankruptcy court.
- 12 Q. Okay. That's a good point. I mean, I
- 13 guess you're in bankruptcy court from the
- 14 beginning to the end of the hearing. We
- 15 established that before, right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And the hearing I think started at
- 18 least midmorning. It's not an afternoon
- 19 hearing?
- 20 A. I don't remember when it started.
- 21 O. Okav.
- 22 MR. STERN: I'm sorry. Let's go off
- 23 the record for a second.
  - (Discussion off the record.)
- 25 A. I left earlier to get down there. It

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 193

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 the changes made from the last draft we 3 distributed is attached."

4

Do you know what is meant by a reference to the latest deal with DTC?

- A. I don't.
- 7 Q. Any clue at all what that's a
- 8 reference to? 9
  - A. No.
- 10 Q. I take it from your answers about
- 11 these that you would not have any idea at what
- point in the sequence it was determined to take
- the price adjustment provision out, right,
- 14 whether it was the Wednesday or the Thursday or 15
- the Saturday or the Sunday? 16
  - A. I have no recollection of that.
- 17 Q. And if you would look, please -- we're
- 18 up to Exhibit 35, yes? 19
  - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Exhibit 35 should be an e-mail from
- 21 Murgio dated September 19, 2008, 9:15 P.M.,
- 22 Greenwich Mean Time, to Dowd, P. Martelli,
- 23 Steven Berkenfeld, Victor Lewkow at Cleary, and
- 24 others.

25

Same questions: Any independent

Page 194

1

6

7

8

10

11

Page 195

Page 197

### 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 recollection of seeing it?

A. No independent recollection.

3

7

8

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

4 Q. And the text of that e-mail refers to, 5 "A revised version of the clarification letter 6 reflecting our conversation this afternoon."

Were you part of any such conversation on the afternoon of Friday, September 19?

- 9 A. Not that I recall. This is an e-mail 10 that went to both Lehman and Barclays personnel 11 and counsel for both sides, so I don't know what 12 conversation he's referring to.
- 13 Q. Okay. Take a look at Exhibit 36, an 14 e-mail from Robert Messineo to Victor Lewkow, 15 bearing Bates No. BCI-CG00024252 through 24271

16 Again, sir, you're not reflected as a 17 recipient of this e-mail, but my question is 18 have you ever seen this version of it before?

- 19 A. I don't know. I don't have 20 independent memory of it.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now we're into Saturday, 22 however. The hearing is over. It's 2:39 P.M. 23 on Saturday.
- 24 Are you in any way back involved in 25 reviewing drafts of the clarification letter now

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

that the hearing is over?

- 3 A. I don't recall at this point whether I 4 was involved on Saturday or whether I didn't get 5 involved again until Sunday.
  - Q. Okay.
    - A. I just don't recall.
- Q. All right. And would you take a look 9 at Exhibit 37.
  - A. Yes.

pronounce that -- Leinwand, Linewand, to Andy 13 Keller, David Murgio, some folks at Sullivan and 14 Cromwell, some folks at Barclays, and some folks 15 at Cleary, but again, you're not shown as having 16 seen this e-mail bearing a date of Saturday, September 20, at 11:13 P.M. Any recollection of

Q. 37 is an e-mail from David -- I can't

- 17 18 seeing it?
- 19 A. No recollection.
- 20 Q. Any recollection of activity going on 21 with respect to changes in the -- with respect
- 22 to the clarification letter as late as September
- 23 20th, 11:13 P.M.?
- 24 A. I don't know what it would have been 25 covering.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 196

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Q. Now, Exhibit 38, Mr. Berkenfeld, before you is an e-mail from Steven Berkenfeld at Lehman.com to berkenf@optonline.com, and attaches a draft of the clarification letter entitled WGM Draft, September 19, 2008, 7:30 P.M.

And the e-mail reflects your -- I take it this is you sending a copy to your personal e-mail address?

- A. My home address, correct.
- 12 Q. And this reflects that that was sent on Sunday, September 21, at 11:19 A.M. Do you
- 14 have any recollection of sending a copy of the 15
- clarification letter to yourself? 16 A. I don't recall, but I would do this so
- 17 I could print it out. From my work e-mail to my
- 18 home so I could print it out and read it.
- 19 Q. I assume at some point you got to get 20 some sleep and you got to head home every once 21 in a while. Do you recall leaving on the Sunday
- 22 at about 11:19, sending stuff to yourself at
- 23 home in preparation for leaving and being at
- 24 home?

25

A. No, I think it was -- my recollection TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

is it was the other way around. I was printing 3 stuff out in anticipation of going back in to 4 Weil Gotshal.

Q. Okay. So you're home, you sign onto your Lehman e-mail, you send it to your optonline and print it out?

- A. My BlackBerry, but, yeah, I sent it to my memo, print it out, and was expecting to go in later that day.
- Q. Physically, it's most likely you're at home when you do this?
- A. That's most likely right.
- 14 Q. The draft that you have sent to 15 yourself here on Sunday, September 21, at 11:19 16 is the Weil Gotshal draft from September 19, 17 2008. The last couple of exhibits that we have 18 looked at have been sent over the Saturday and 19 the Sunday. The 19th is the Friday.

20 Does that -- I would say "refresh," 21 but does that refresh or freshen your view about 22 whether you saw copies of the clarification 23 letter over the weekend?

- 24 A. It's speculation. 25
  - Q. Uh-huh.

2

9

12

19

21

24

3

15

Page 198

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. I don't remember, but it would have
- 3 been most likely to have sent to myself the last
- draft I had. 4

1

5

6

Q. That you had, okay.

Now, tell me what happens-tow lowing

### 7 is on the Monday, the day the 22?

- 8 A. As I recall, yes, very early in the
- 9 morning.
- 10 Q. And where was the closing?
- 11 A. At Weil Gotshal.
- 12 Q. Did you go to the closing?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. When you got to the closing, when you
- 15 got to the closing, do you recall if you signed
- 16 the clarification letter there? We talked
- before about whether you might have signed it
- 18 Sunday night. But now having gone through this
- sequence, do you have a better recollection of
- 20 when you signed it?
- 21 A. Both Sunday night and Monday morning
- 22 were at Weil Gotshal.
- 23 Q. Uh-huh.

1

4

- A. Then it changed locations. So I went 24
- 25 in to Weil Gotshal on Sunday and stayed there

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

through the night until the closing Monday

3 morning.

4 O. Okay. When you put your signature to 5 the clarification letter, is there a point at which you say to someone, what changes does this 7 make to the deal I signed on the 16th?

8 A. I don't recall having a conversation.

I recall having read that the -- a letter and

going through it with counsel from Simpson and 10

11 Weil Gotshal.

Q. Uh-huh. Just answer this yes or no.

13 Did you ask about each and every section of the letter before you signed it? 14

15 Just yes or no?

16 Q. Yes.

17 A. No.

18 Q. Do you know a Beth Rudofker?

A. Yes. Rudofker.

20 O. Who's Beth Rudofker?

A. Beth Rudofker was the head of Audit at

22 Lehman Brothers.

23 Q. Right.

A. And is now in the Compliance

25 Department at Barclays.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 200

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 O. Is Beth Rudofker a lawyer?

3 A. No. she's not.

(Exhibit 39, e-mail from S. Berkenfeld

5 to Beth Rudofker dated Saturday, September

6 20, at 1:07 P.M., marked for identification, 7 as of this date.)

8 O. Mr. Berkenfeld, I've shown you what we

have marked as Exhibit 39. It's a two-page

10 e-mail and it, at the top of the first page, it

reflects an e-mail from you to Beth Rudofker 11

12 dated Saturday, September 20, at 1:07 P.M., and

there follows an e-mail chain below that. Have

14 you had a chance to look through that?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Do you recall this e-mail exchange

with Ms. Rudofker? 17 18 A. I don't recall the e-mail exchange.

19 Q. I note that the earliest of the

20 e-mails, which of course would be the last one

on the last page, sent Friday, September 19, at

22 4:46, that is, 16:46, simply says in the subject

23 line, "The whole structure of what we were

24 planning has changed and there is huge

25 confusion." Do you recall receiving that

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 201

Page 199

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 message from Ms. Rudofker?

A. I don't, but reading this, I guess I

4 didn't get it till -- it's done in European

5 time. I didn't respond until 2:28 in the

6 morning.

7 Q. Okay. Now, at 2:28 on the morning of

8 Saturday, September 20, the hearing has ended,

right? It ended shortly after midnight. And

presumably because this is a response from you 10

11 to Ms. Rudofker, you're back in front of a

12 screen of some kind, a BlackBerry or you're in

front of a computer screen somewhere. You're no 13

14 longer in court, right?

A. I think that's a fair assumption, yes.

16 Q. And you respond to Ms. Rudofker in

17 response to her note, "The whole structure of

what we were planning has changed and there is 18

19 huge confusion," you write only, "Still,"

20 question mark. What are you referring to when

21 you write to her?

22 A. I don't recall.

23 Q. Okay.

A. I just didn't understand what the 24

25 issue was.

9

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Page 202

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 Q. Well, "still" suggests to me there was 3 a point of confusion that you had some earlier 4 knowledge of?
  - A. I wouldn't interpret it that way.
- 6 Q. How would you interpret it?
- 7 A. Just, you know, at this point we still
- 8 have issues. Not that there was an issue
- 9 before, just at this point there's still an
- 10 issue.

1

5

19

1

2

6

7

11

19

- 11 Q. Well, as a man who had been working 12 virtually nonstop on this deal since the 15th, doing all-nighters, and you get a message after
- 14 you've come out of the bankruptcy court that
- 15 says only, "The whole structure of what we were planning has changed and there is huge
- 17 confusion," that would have been a matter of 18
  - some significant concern to you, would it not? MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 20 A. Not necessarily.
- 21 Q. So, as you sit here today, do you have
- 22 any recollection of learning sometime late
- 23 Friday, early the Saturday that the whole
- structure of what you were planning had changed
- 25 and there was huge confusion?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 203

- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL S. BERKENFELD
- 2 A. I don't have any recollection on late
- 3 Friday. I don't have any recollection early in the morning Saturday. To some extent, you asked
- me if I know Beth, and I do know Beth, and I
- 6 would have not necessarily thought that this was 7
- a significant issue, knowing Beth. 8 Q. Okay. Does Beth tend to write -- do
- you tend to discount a note like that from Beth 10 until you get details; is that what you're 11 telling me?
- 12 A. I would characterize Beth as sometimes 13 being somewhat of an alarmist over the many 14 years I've known her, and that a note like this 15 could easily be exaggerated because she might 16 not have been in the loop on something that was 17 being done somewhere else or away from her.

18 So I would not have taken a note from 19 Beth at face value that if she said there's huge 20 confusion, that there truly was huge confusion, 21 that there just might have been confusion in her 22 mind. So that's why I said not necessarily.

Q. All right. Now, let me just focus 24 your attention. If you turn to the first page of the exhibit, which is the last two e-mail

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 204

Page 205

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELI

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD exchanges in the sequence, you write to Beth.

3 "How to reach you?" And she responds with a 4

telephone number, correct? 5

A. Correct.

Q. Do you recall having a telephone conversation with her on the afternoon of Saturday?

8 9 A. I don't recall having it. I would expect that I did because I would have called 10

her, but I don't recall the conversation.

12 Q. Thanks.

13 (Exhibit 40, a document bearing Bates 14 Nos. STB-LEH0000272 through 277, marked for 15 identification, as of this date.)

16 Q. We've marked as Exhibit 40, Mr.

17 Berkenfeld, a multi-page document bearing Bates 18 numbers STB-LEH0000272 through 277.

Take a look through that and tell me 20 whether you have seen that before. It is, for 21 the record, an e-mail at the top of the -- on 22 the first page it reflects an e-mail from Robert 23 Davis at Cleary Gottlieb to David Murgio at 24 Weil, CC, Alvin Brown, A. Kohn, Archie Cox,

others, and sberkenfeld@lehmanbrothers.com?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

MR. STERN: This is a fairly lengthy series of e-mails and it's a little difficult to read. Is there a particular section you want to focus on or do you want -- should he just read the whole thing and then --

Q. What I would like you to do, Mr. Berkenfeld, is do whatever you need to do to answer the question which you know I'm going to ask, which is have you seen this before. You don't need to study each part of it.

MR. GAFFEY: Actually, most of --

MR. GAFFEY: And then I have some questions about the last e-mail in the sequence, Jack. That's the last one at the top of the first page.

18 A. I don't have any recollection of this 19 independently.

20 Q. You see there are four numbered items 21 in Mr. Davis' e-mail to Murgio and the people 22 who received copies of this? 23

A. Yes.

24 Q. And one of them is an issue you mentioned before, the PIM business. That's an

Page 206

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 issue you were -- whether the PIM business was going or not going is an issue that you were

- addressing in some fashion at this time, right?
  - A. It was an issue I was involved in.
- 6 Q. And there's a reference in Mr. Davis'
- e-mail to Mr. Murgio about the PIM business 8 including an additional nine real estate leases.
- Did you get at that level of detail in what or
- 10 who in the PIM business was moving over?
- 11 A. Not to the extent of how many leases
- 12 were going, but on kind of a broader basis.
- 13 Were they taking the whole PIM business.
- 14 Q. The same question I'll ask you with
- 15 respect to paragraph 2 that refers to IRA accounts of PIM employees, but no preclosing IRA
- 17 liabilities?

1

5

- 18 A. Yeah, I don't recall that issue.
- 19 Q. And then there's a reference on the
- 20 signed contracts, but what I really want to ask
- 21 you about is paragraph 4: "The business people
- 22 at Barclays have yet to confirm to me anything
- about the new deal," and that's in quotes, "on
- 24 the tri-party repo you described before."
- 25 Does that refresh your recollection

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 208

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- about what whether anybody talked about the
- 3 Barclays/Lehman tri-party Repurchase Agreement 4 to you?
- 5 A. It does not. This is an e-mail to
- lots of people who would not have no involvement
- 7 with that. Alvin Brown's an employee benefit
- 8 lawyer, for instance. That was just, again, not
- 9 an issue that I was directly involved in.
- 10 Q. One of the CCs on this is Archie Cox, 11 who you had described as one of the main
- 12 Barclays negotiators, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you're on there as well. Who is
- 15 Richard Smith? He's on there as well.
- 16 A. Richard Smith is a lawyer at Barclays,
- 17 in-house lawyer.
- 18 Q. And you're on this list of several 19 people as well, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Had you seen this document at or
- 22 around the time it was sent, September 19, 9:56
- P.M., and there was a reference to a new deal on
- a tri-party repo, would that have been an issue 24
- 25 that would have caught your attention?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

1

Page 209

Page 207

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- A. I -- I don't know. Again, this was at
- 9:56 that Friday, so I don't know when I would
- 4 have seen it.

1

2

- 5 Q. | And --
- 6 A. | I never focused on it.
- 7 Q. -- at 9:56 on Friday, you would have
- 8 still been in court?
- 9 A. I was still in court.
- Q. And when you come out of court, you 10
- 11 would review your e-mails?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And any recollection on seeing an
- 14 e-mail when you came out of court that night
- 15 that referred to a new deal?
- A. I don't even have a recollection of 16
- 17 how I got home that night.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 (Exhibit 41, a document bearing Bates
- 20 Nos. 10266839 with attachment, marked for
- 21 identification, as of this date.)
- Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, I have put before you 22
- 23 what we have marked as Exhibit 41, an e-mail
- 24 from Anthony Collecton to Steven Berkenfeld
- entitled "Retention Est.xls," and it's got a
  - TSG Reporting Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- spreadsheet attached to it, sent on Monday,
- 3 September 15th, at 4:26 P.M. GMT, which I'm told
- 4 would put it at about 26 minutes past noon.
- There's a four-hour forward time difference, I'm
- 6 just telling you.
- 7 A. This is when our e-mail switched over
- 8 to Barclays or something?
- Q. You know, it's either that or -- yeah, 9
- 10 I don't know. I don't know. I just know it's
- 11 GMT.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. And what Mr. Collerton appears -- do
- you recall communicating with Mr. Collecton at 14
- 15 that time very early on on September 15 about
- 16 retention issues?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. And Mr. Collerton had some HR function
- at Lehman; is that correct? 19
- 20 A. Yes, he was one of the senior HR
- 21 people.
- 22 O. And he's sharing what he calls here
- 23 some really rough thoughts on retention payments
- 24 and severance. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.
  - TSG Reporting Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 210

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- Q. Do you recall this particular e-mail or the spreadsheet?
  - A. Not specifically.
- Q. Do you have a more general recollection of communicating with Mr. Collecton
- about such issues as retention and severance?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

- 9 Q. Okay. I can see from his title why 10 he's in that conversation. Why are you in it?
- 11 A. After the bankruptcy and, as I
- mentioned earlier, a lot of issues that were 12
- 13 going on included how to deal with employees --
  - Q. Uh-huh.
- 15 A. -- and how to manage Lehman if we were
- 16 really just going to be in bankruptcy and trying
- to do -- I'll put this in quotes, please -- an
- orderly wind-down is being requested of us from
- 19 the regulators. We didn't have any idea what
- 20 that meant, how we could possibly do an orderly
- 21 wind-down, but we were trying to do the best we
- 22 could.
- 23 What we needed to try to calculate is
- how you get people to stick around and be part
- of an orderly wind-down. So some of the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 211

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 discussions I had over those couple of days is

3 what's our, you know, biweekly payroll that

4 we're paying out, how much cash would you need

5 to be able to keep people employed over two-week

6 periods so that you could keep them in their

7 seats, engaged in whatever was necessary for us 8 to do as we were sort of figuring it out.

9 So this would have been an analysis

10 that was done assuming that we were in 11 bankruptcy and we had all these positions and we

12 needed to wind them down and what would it take

13 to keep people from, without trying to be overly

dramatic, taking their stuff, putting it in 14

15 boxes, and walking out of the building at

16 midnight Sunday night.

17 Q. If you would take a look at the 18 schedule that is annexed to Mr. Collerton's

19 e-mail to you, I guess the issue you're talking

about, keeping people in their seats for the 20 21 next couple of weeks for an orderly wind-down,

22 but this document appears to be talking in terms

23 of bonus amounts and calculation of 50 percent

24 of prior year bonus, that type of thing, if

you'll look across the headings at the top, you

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 212

Page 213

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 see that?

1

- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And it's divided between two
- 5 categories of entities. There would be entities
- under Holdings. I take it that's LBIH, right? 6
- 7 And the LBI categories, Lehman Brothers, Inc.
- 8 categories, yes?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And as you look at this, this e-mail
- that -- I'm sorry, this spreadsheet that Mr. 11
- Collecton sent to you, do you see that there's,
- 13 in column E, there's a calculation called bonus?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. All right. And the entities that are
- 16 listed in column B, "CORP," "EQ," "FID," that's
- corporate equities, fixed income, investment
- banking, investment management, those are
- 19 different divisions of Holdings and LBI,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And the bonuses that are set out in
- 23 Exhibit E for LBI appear to total \$2.163
- 24 billion, do you see that?
- 25 A. No, I don't. I'm sorry. I lost you.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 O. Column E?
- 3 A. Yeah.

4

5

6

11

25

- Q. Grand total at the bottom of the LBI
- box, \$2,163,511,000?
- A. 2,163,000,000.
- 7 O. You with me?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And the total as between both Holdings
- 10 and LBI comes to 2.4 billion in comp, correct?
- 12 O. Now, to your knowledge, is this broken
- down at all by the categories of employees who 13 would transfer to Barclays? I mean, did all
  - these business units go over to Barclays?
- 15 16 A. I don't think this had anything at all
- 17 to do with Barclays in terms of transfers. I 18 don't even know if this was -- that just the
- 19 U.S. or global or how many businesses it
- 20 included that weren't going to be moving over.
- 21 I don't know.
- 22 Q. Right. Well, what I think it does
- 23 indicate, or tell me if you agree or disagree
- with me, is whatever it is this spreadsheet is 24

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

about, it's not about paying people for the next

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

12

20

Page 214

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 two weeks, right? 3

O. So ---

A. No.

1

4

5 A. But I was not answering specifically

6 around the schedule when I answered that

7 question. It was specifically about some of the

8 issues we were trying to resolve.

9 Q. Okay. Okay. And the reason I wanted 10 to move you into the schedule is because I want

11 to ask were you talking to Mr. Collecton at or

around September 15 about what the bonus number

13 would need to be for employees that Barclays

14 wanted to retain?

15 A. No, I was not.

16 Q. Do you have any knowledge as to why

17 Mr. Collerton was sending you a spreadsheet

entitled "Potential Retention and Severance

Estimates" that calculated bonus and then such

20 things as one-third of population get 50 percent

21 of prior year's bonus?

22 A. I don't know for sure. I'm

23 speculating that we were thinking that we needed

one-third of the staff to manage the bankrupt

entity and you had to pay them a bonus to get

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 216

Page 215

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

them to stay.

Q. Would you pay them 50 percent of their prior year's bonus to stay for a couple of weeks for an orderly wind-down?

A. I don't think we were making an assessment about what it would take. We were just trying to plug some numbers and see what it would look like. No one had made a decision that anyone was going to be paying 50 percent.

As time went by, which we didn't have as of Monday, we had the benefit of A & M coming in here and taking on a significant role and deciding who they needed and who they didn't need to. And I have no idea how many people they actually have employed.

But as of Monday, in conversations 18 with Anthony, it's like, we're bankrupt, what do we do and how do we manage this thing? And so a lot of my time over the first few days was spent trying to figure these things out.

Q. Okay. Was any of your time, though, spent on trying to come up with a calculation of how much would have to be set aside for bonuses for transferred employees in respect of their

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 217

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD service at Lehman?

2

1

3 A. No, it was not.

4 Q. At all? Ever?

5 A. I don't recall any involvement in any

discussion like that. 6

7 O. You give that answer with a degree of

8 certitude. The document suggests that Mr. Collecton seems to think you're interested in

10 calculating what the bonuses would be for all

11

the employees, and I say it that way just to see 12 if it refreshes your recollection about whether

13 you were having any discussion with Mr.

14 Collecton about coming up with a way to

calculate what would be needed for bonuses for

16 transferred employees once they were at

17 Barclays?

18

A. I did not have the conversation with

19 Anthony that I recall about any bonuses for

20 transferred employees. Just by way of specific

21 example, I believe LBB stands for Lehman

22 Brothers Bank, which was never part of the

23 transaction at all with Barclays.

24 Q. So it's possible when you see "LBB" on

here that these calculations that -- let's talk

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELI about the LBI section of that spreadsheet. LBI begins with "CORP," ends with "PS," and comes to the \$2,163,000,000 number?

A. Uh-huh. Yes.

6 Q. And LBB is included in there, so 7

that's 6 billion of it, or closer to 7 billion

8 of it, right? 9

A. No, that's 6 million.

10 Q. 6 million, okay.

11 7 million.

Q. Let's just use that as an example.

13 That's an entity that was not contemplated as

14 going over to Barclays and did not go over to

15 Barclays in the deal that was discussed

16 post-bankruptcy, correct?

17 A. I believe that the bank could not move 18 over for regulatory reasons and was never

19 contemplated that it would.

Q. Okay. And in the week prior to the 21 bankruptcy filing, there had been discussions

22 between Barclays and Lehman. I think you said

23 they were merger discussions, but they

24 contemplated a combination of all of Lehman

globally with Barclays, correct?

6

7

8

10

11

Page 218

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- A. Well. I do not recall what would have
- 3 been done with some of the assets that created
- regulatory issues. There might have been 4
- 5 provisions in there of divestitures and things
- like that. I don't know. 6

1

2

- 7 O. But at a more general level, the
- 8 discussion is going on before the bankruptcy is
  - a global combination and the discussion going on
- after the bankruptcy is North American 10
- 11 operations only, correct?
- 12 A. On a global basis, before the
- bankruptcy, it was a merger and an acquisition 13
- 14 of the holding company.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 And after the bankruptcy, and that
- might have taken many complicated forms, 17
- depending on what assistance was going to be
- 19 provided by the federal government or the rest
- 20 of Wall Street, so it's hard to say this is what
- 21 the structure would have been before. But
- 22 afterwards, it was a discussion about
- principally about buying U.S. investment banking
- 24 and capital markets businesses.
- 25 Q. In the period before the bankruptcy, TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- when the more worldwide, the global discussions were on the table, was there, to your knowledge,
- any calculation of what the global bonus accrual
- 5 might be?
  - A. Not that I know of.
  - To your knowledge, is the schedule that's attached to this exhibit a calculation of what the global bonus liability was for LBI and put to the 2,163,000,000?
  - A. Repeat the question. I'm sorry.
- 12 O. The 2,163,000,000 number that we focused on before for LBI, is that a, to your 13
- knowledge, is that a calculation of the global
- accrual, the accrual for employees around the 16 world, not just North America?
- 17 A. I have no knowledge of what that
- 18 number is. 19 Q. Do you have any recollection of any
- 20 conversations with Mr. Collecton about this potential retention and severance estimates 21
- 22 spreadsheet that he sent to you on September 15?
- 23 A. I don't specifically recall the
- 24 conversation. I do recall having conversations
- 25 with Anthony about how we would manage as a

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 220

1

6

7

8

9

10

17

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

bankrupt entity and how we would deal with the 3 employee issue.

1

2

4

5

15

O. Okay. Did you have discussions with Anthony about which employees would transfer

from Lehman to Barclays as part of the 6 7 post-bankruptcy transaction?

- 8 A. I don't know if the discussions were
- with Anthony. As I mentioned earlier, I had
- discussions with HR, could have been Ros Coffey,
- 11 who was our HR representative, I don't know,
- about which employees from Legal, Compliance and 12
- 13 Audit would transfer over, but did not have a
- conversation about transfer of employees 14
- generally, other than, again, throughout this 16 week there were specific discussions around
- 17 things like Eagle Energy, the Israeli office,
- 18 Canada.
- 19 Q. Right.
- 20 A. Kind of one-off discussions. And
- those discussions did not, in my recollection, 21
- 22 include the issue of accrued bonus or comp.
- 23 Q. The reason I'm showing you this
- 24 document and maybe a couple others that I will
- show you is to see if it refreshes your view,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 221

Page 219

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELI

your recollection about whether any of the work 3 you did played a role in the calculation of that 4 \$2 billion comp number we saw on the September 5 16 financial schedule that you signed.

To my knowledge, it did not.

(Exhibit 42, a document bearing Bates

Nos. 10283125 with attachment, marked for

identification, as of this date.)

Q. Now, I have put before you, Mr.

11 Berkenfeld, a document marked Exhibit 42, an e-mail from Eric Umlauf to Steven Berkenfeld and 12

others at Lehman entitled "LB Cash Flow

14 Forecast 150908.xls - for our discussion," and

15 there's an attachment, which is also reproduced

16

Have you seen this document before?

- 18 A. I don't specifically recall it.
- 19 Q. Do you have a general recollection of 20 seeing it?
- 21 A. General recollection I think I do
- 22 have.
- 23 O. And what's your general recollection 24 of the circumstances under which you were sent
  - this document and why it was sent to you?

10

Page 222

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. I think that this document, again, was 3 to help us calculate what cash we were going to have available to continue to operate in
- 5 bankruptcy.

1

6

7

8

- Q. When Mr. Umlauf refers to "for our discussion" in the subject, does that trigger your recollection as to whether there was a discussion with him or others in which you were
- 10 involved about the spreadsheet? 11 A. No, it doesn't trigger a special
- 12 recollection.
- 13 Q. Could you take a look at the
- spreadsheet, if you would, and the first page of
- 15 it, in particular. Now, down under -- in line
- 16 number 12, where it says Payroll, September
- 2008, local employees only, and you have got a
- \$4,267,000 number there. You with me? 18
- 19 A. Which number?
- Q. :12. 20

1

2

6

- 21 A. A 12, yes.
- 22 O. Now, is that the type of thing you
- 23 were talking about, what's my payroll for the
- next couple of weeks while we get this,
- quote/unquote, orderly wind-down done?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 223 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- A. Generally, yes. I don't remember
- 3 discussion on things like travel and
- entertainment and whatnot. So I don't remember
- 5 any specific conversation on this. Just looking
- at these items, some of it just doesn't make
- 7 sense to me, so I don't recall discussion
- 8 actually working through these items. I'm not
- 9 sure who we were entertaining then.
  - Q. Who you were, I'm sorry?
- A. Who we were entertaining then. 11
- 12 O. Other than the world at large, 13 perhaps, but I take it the golf stuff had all
- 14 stopped and the --
- 15 A. Yes. So I don't know what we ever did
- with this and what the conclusion that came from
- 17 it, and I don't recall specific discussions
- 18 among this group about it.
- 19 Q. So I take it you're not the guy I 20 should ask about why Charles Tyrwhitt
- 21 Shirtmakers are on the list of contracts?
- 22 A. Were they listed as a -- what's the 23 expression here? Critical unpaid vendor or
- 24 non-critical?

25

12

13

14

Q. That I don't know. I'm done with

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 224

Page 225

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD that.

3 (Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates Nos. 10270558, marked for identification, as 4 5 of this date.)

- Q. Marked as Exhibit 43, Mr. Berkenfeld,
- 7 is an e-mail from you to Tracy Binkley. It's a 8 one-page document bearing document number
- 10270558, and it reflects an e-mail exchange
- 10 starting with an e-mail from Ms. Binkley to you
- 11 and your response to her. Would you read
- 12 through that, please?
- 13 A. You want me to read -- what do you
- 14 want me to read?
- 15 Q. Just read it enough to tell me whether you remember seeing the document. 16
- 17 A. Yes. I have.
- 18 Q. You do remember seeing it?
- 19 A. Do I remember this document? No, I
- 20 don't have a specific recollection of this
- 21 document.
- 22 Q. In the bottom e-mail, Ms. Binkley
- 23 writes to you on the night of -- at about 11
- minutes after 5 P.M. on September 18, an e-mail
- entitled "A schedule referred to in the Purchase

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELI

- Agreement," and she writes, "The HR director at
- 3 Barclays called to try to get a schedule that
- 4 was supposed to be initialed by the parties and
- 5 is a financial schedule related to bonuses
- (accrued '09 FY liability). It sounds like his
- 7 team is concerned about getting that document
- 8 before closing. Do you know what he's referring
- 9 to and where to get his hands on it?" And then
- 10 you respond to Ms. Binkley, "I don't. Check
- 11 with Bart and Skip."

Do you recall her asking you for a copy of the 9/16/08 financial schedule?

- A. I don't specifically recall.
- 15 Q. Do you recall the financial schedule 16 going missing for a while and a lot of people
- 17 asking for it?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Why, as best you can tell, would you
- 20 have told Ms. Binkley to check with Bart and
- 21 Skip?

25

- 22 A. I think that I would probably
- characterize this as a misunderstanding or 23
- 24 miscommunication.
  - O. Okay.

9

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

24

25

7

12

13

14

15

Page 226

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

A. Coming from Tracy Binkley, who was one of the co-heads of HR, I don't know -- I don't remember exactly whether Anthony reported to her or vice-versa, but they were the two senior people in human resources, so she was looking for a financial schedule related to bonuses.

8 I didn't consider that schedule, the 9 exhibit that we were referring to before, remember, Exhibit 19, I would never have 10 described that as a financial schedule related 12 to bonuses. To me that sounded like an actual 13 human resource produced document about what 14 bonuses were going to be paid out to who.

### 15 O. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

16 A. So I thought she was asking for 17 actually a schedule of bonuses as opposed to a financial schedule related to bonuses because there would be no reason for her to have that 20 whole schedule just for that one item or for the

21 director, HR director at Barclays, to need that 22 whole schedule of assets and liabilities to know

23 what the, quote/unquote, accrued '08 FY

24 liability was.

25

1 2

7

So I thought she was asking for some TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 sort of actual schedule of bonuses that might have been part of this discussion between the

business people about how you were going to

5 compensate and retain employees, and that was

6 not anything that I was aware of. 7

# Q. Okay. You didn't understand that to mean the one-sheet financial schedule we were talking about before?

A. It wouldn't have made sense to me that 11 Tracy would be asking for that schedule just for 12 that information. It seemed, again, within the context of knowing Tracy, I read it to understand that she was looking for actually some sort of schedule of bonuses.

> (Exhibit 44, a document bearing Bates Nos. 459686, marked for identification, as of this date.)

19 Q. You have before you what we've marked 20 as Exhibit 44, a one-page document bearing number 459686, an e-mail from Beth Rudofker to 21 22 you, Ian Lowitt, Alastair Blackwell, Bridget 23 O'Connor, with a copy to Bart McDade.

Take a look through it and tell me whether you remember seeing this document TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 229

Page 227

# Page 228 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

before.

3 A. I don't specifically recall this document. 4

5 Q. Do you have a general recollection of 6 it?

A. Not of this document, no.

8 Q. Can you tell me who Bridget O'Connor 9 is?

10 A. Bridget O'Connor was the head of 11 technology at Lehman.

12 Q. And in this e-mail Ms. Rudofker writes 13 to you, "Here's what I think we are around -here's where I think we are around big issues," and then lists three of them with some 16 subheadings, right? The first one, complete 17 purchase agreement, refers to a Barclays 18 assessment of mortgage TBA risk in a DTC box, et 19 cetera, et cetera.

20 Do you have any idea what that's 21 referring to?

22 A. I do not.

23 Q. At all?

A. At all. 24

25 Q. The second with regard to "Day 1

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

business," the second item below there says,

3 "Resolve 15c3 lockup. Meetings happening now."

4 Do you see that?

5 A. Uh-huh.

6 Q. Do you know what --

A. Yes, I do.

8 O. -- that's a reference to?

9 A. To the 15c3 issue mentioned before,

but I don't have any specifics around what the 10

11 issue was.

> Q. Does this refresh your recollection as to what the 15c3 issue was?

A. No, it does not.

O. As you sit here today, do you have any 16 idea, any clue what the 15c3 issue was?

A. No, I do not. 17

18 (Exhibit 45, a one-page e-mail from 19 Ian Lowitt to Steven Berkenfeld, Bart

20 McDade, Alex Kirk, Paolo Tonucci, CC to

James Seery, dated 9/22/08 at 7:23 A.M. 21

22 entitled "Looks Like We're All Set," marked

23 for identification, as of this date.)

24 Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, Exhibit 45, which is

before you, is a one-page e-mail from Ian Lowitt

2

3

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

Page 230

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD to Steven Berkenfeld, Bart McDade, Alex Kirk, Paolo Tonucci, CC to James Seery, dated 9/22/08

4 at 7:23 A.M. entitled "Looks Like We're All 5 Set."

Do you recall this e-mail?

7 A. I do.

1

2

3

6

8 Q. Okay. There's two e-mails in there. 9 There's the one from you to McDade, Lowitt, et al., to which Mr. Lowitt responds, "Hooray!!! 10 11 Ian." It's the original one I want to ask you 12 about: "JPMorgan blinked. They agreed to 13 cancel the \$7.4 billion collateral purchase. We 14 are starting the closing."

15 What did you mean when you wrote 16 "JPMorgan blinked"?

17 A. A few things about this. One, if Bart 18 and Ian were no longer at Weil, which this 19 implied, I'm e-mailing it to them, they had gone home, might have again meant I was the only one 21 available to sign anything when the closing came around, if the clarification was signed at

23 Monday morning. I don't remember when it was,

but one more reason why I would have been forced

25 to sign it.

1 2

3

4

5

10

13

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 231

I have also mentioned before that there was this negotiation going on away from us between JPMorgan and Barclays that Lehman was not a part of and we were wondering whether it was going to disrupt the transaction and prevent the closing.

8 At 7:19 in the morning, or 9 thereabouts, we finally had a resolution between 10 JPMorgan and Barclays on that issue. The 11 details of it I don't remember much more than 12 what this says, I just don't have a 13 recollection, but it was to tell them what was 14 holding up the closing, which was the dispute 15 with JPMorgan, there had been a concession, 16 there had been an agreement, and we're starting 17 the closing process.

Q. Your e-mail refers to JPMorgan saying, "They agreed to cancel the \$7.4 billion collateral purchase." Do you know anything more about what the JPMorgan issue was than it involved \$7.4 billion collateral purchase?

A. Sorry. I don't recall at this point.

24 Q. Any recollection at all of a \$7.4 25 billion issue holding up your closing?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 232

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

A. I remember that the collateral issue between JPMorgan and Barclays was holding up the closing, but we were kept out of the discussion, so I didn't know much about the details then and

6 I don't recall much more about it now.

7 Q. When you learned that it had been 8 resolved, did you learn any information other 9 than it was resolved?

A. Not that I recall.

11 Q. Did you learn any details of any 12 agreement between Barclays and JPMorgan?

A. 'No, I don't believe that I have.

14 Q. Even if as you sit here now yet you 15 don't have a firm recollection of what it was, 16 do you remember if you did have a firm 17 recollection, you know, a better, a more 18 detailed grasp of what the issue was at the 19 time?

20 A. I'm confident I had a better

21 recollection of the issue at the time. 22

O. Uh-huh.

23 A. Had been around there in a lot of dead 24 time all night and had been an observer of some bigger conference calls that had happened, but I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 233

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD couldn't provide any more insight at this point, and there were many people who were much, much closer to the issues than I was who could give you more.

(Exhibit 46, a document bearing Bates Nos. BCI-CG00034704 through 34707, marked for identification, as of this date.)

9 O. I've given you, Mr. Berkenfeld, what 10 we have marked as Exhibit 46, a document bearing 11 Bates Nos. BCI-CG00034704 through 707, which on 12 its first page reflects an e-mail from Harvey

Miller at Weil.com to Lindsee Grandfield at

14 CGSH.com, entitled "Barclays/Lehman (JPMorgan),"

15 and below that you'll see an e-mail from Lindsee

16 Grandfield to Harvey Miller, copy to Bart

17 McDade, Joanne Pflaum, Lori Fife, Michael

18 Lubowitz, Richard Krasnow, Rod Miller,

19 sberkenfeld@lehman.com, and some others.

20 Would you take a look through that 21 e-mail, Mr. Berkenfeld, and tell me if it

22 refreshes your recollection about what the 23 nature of the issue was with JPMorgan in light

24 of an e-mail, you know, in light of the e-mail 25 that Cleary Gottlieb is spending to you and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

25

4

9

10

12

16

Page 234 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

3 A. Okav.

1

2

5

6

7

8

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

4 Q. Does that refresh your recollection about what the JPMorgan issue may have been?

A. Somewhat.

others about the issue?

O. Okav. How does it refresh your recollection?

A. I just remember more that some of the 10 impediment to the original transaction that we 11 had come up with and we agreed to in the Asset

12 Purchase Agreement was perhaps best put more

13 conceptual than practical and that we didn't

14 really have a time to figure out how it was

15 going to work to transfer all these assets and

16 liabilities and how the clearing bank's role

would be affected and what -- if it had liens on

18 the assets and all the complications around

19 JPMorgan being our clearing agent for our

20 securities transactions.

Q. Did Lehman have to make any concession 22 or change with respect to what it was giving Barclays as a result of the JPMorgan piece that

24 we're talking about now?

25 A. I don't know.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 235

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD Q. Have any recollection of Lehman having to agree to give 7 billion in cash to Barclays?

MR. STERN: I'll object to the form.

A. Between bankruptcy and the closing?

O. Yes.

A. I don't recall whether we had to give additional cash to JPMorgan during that time period.

Q. Not JPMorgan. To Barclays.

11 A. I think you asked JPMorgan.

Q. Then I got it wrong. That's okay. 12 13 I'll give you a new question and we'll have a 14 question and answer on the transcript.

Do you recall if Lehman agreed to give Barclays 7 billion in cash?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

18 A. I don't recall and I would -- wasn't part of the direct participation in these 19 20 negotiations.

21 (Exhibit 47, a document bearing Bates 22 Nos. BCI-CG00035134 through 35955, marked for identification, as of this date.) 23 24

MR. STERN: Given the size of this exhibit, are there any particular sections TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 236

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 237

2 MR. GAFFEY: Yeah. 3 MR. STERN: 11:22.

MR. GAFFEY: I beg your pardon.

5 You're right. 6 Q. The earliest is from Paolo Tonucci to 7 Rod Miller, Azerad, et cetera, et cetera, and 8

ultimately this winds up being forwarded to the V. Lewkow at Cleary. Do you recall generally on the 11

Saturday, the 20th, an issue about delivering other assets to Barclays?

13 A. I recall generally an issue of we've got some mechanical issues to deal with on some 14 15 of this stuff ---

Q. Okay.

17 A. -- and we've got to figure out how to do that. And that was something that, as we 18

worked through our verticals, was going to be in 19

20 the hands of finance working with Rod Miller. 21

And I actually do recall arranging to have Rod 22 Miller, a lawyer at Weil Gotshal, at the request

23 of finance guys, being kind of a dedicated

24 lawyer for these sort of issues with Finance. 25

Q. And by "Finance," you mean Paolo TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD you want to focus his attention? MR. GAFFEY: Yeah, the e-mail. I

don't need to go into the -- most of that exhibit is the --

> MR. STERN: The e-mails start on --MR. GAFFEY: -- attachment.

8 The e-mails start at 35137, Jack. 9

That's the last page.

Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, we've marked as 10

11 Exhibit 47 a quite large document bearing Bates

Nos. BCI-CG00035134 through 35955, and it 13 consists of an e-mail of a couple of pages and

14 then a large set of -- a large spreadsheet

15 that's attached to it. 16

Do you recall receiving this e-mail entitled "Delivering other assets to Barclays," 17 which is the name of each of the e-mails in the 18 19 chain?

20 A. Right, I don't specifically recall 21 this e-mail, no.

22 Q. These e-mails all are dated on 23 Saturday the 20th, with the earliest of them being 20 September 2008 at 11:29. 24

> MR. STERN: No. No. No. Wait. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

2

3

7

8

9

17

Page 238

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

### 2 Tonucci?

1

- 3 A. I mean everyone on this list, Robert
- Azerad and Lowitt, Dan Fleming. They were all 4
- 5 members of the Finance Division. So I do recall
- them saying we have these mechanical issues, 6
- 7 it's complicated, we need help, who can we rely
- 8 on, and arranging to have Rod Miller be their
- 9 point person.
- 10 Q. | Did your understanding at the time go 11 any deeper than there were mechanical issues?
- 12 Did you know the nature of the issues?
- 13 A. Very superficially.
- 14 Q. Now, within the chain of e-mails, and
- 15 I guess I'm on page BCI35135, there's an e-mail
- 16 from Miller to Tonucci, copy Azerad, Fleming,
- Berkenfeld, subject, "Delivering other assets to 17
- 18 Barclays," and it says, "We still have the 50
- 19 percent of residentials to transfer at closing,
- 20 right? Those were not thrown into the repo,
- 21 right?" Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Any clue what that means?
- 2.4 A. No.

1

2

4

9

12

18

21

25 O. At all?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 239 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

A. Well, I had a clue that we're talking

about the resis that were -- excuse me.

4 MR. STERN: Objection to form. The 5 question is whether he has any clue? Object

6 to the form.

A. Do I have any clue?

Q. Any idea of what that means?

A. I have no idea. Clue just might be

10 that it refers to the resi provisions that had

been in the Asset Purchase Agreement and it was 11

12 the issue of transferring 50 percent of the

13 resis.

14 Q. Now, that 50 percent resi provision in 15 the Asset Purchase Agreement came out in the 16 clarification letter, do you recall that?

A. I do recall that.

18 Q. Okay. So would you have known the 19 answer to this question, "Do we still have the

20 50 percent of residentials to transfer at closing?"

21

A. At that point in time I would not have 22

23 known the answer to that.

24 MR. GAFFEY: Let's take a five-minute

25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 240

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

20

21

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

(Recess; Time Noted: 3:23 P.M.)

3 (Time Noted: 3:30 P.M.)

MR. GAFFEY: I have no further

5 question.)

**EXAMINATION BY** 6

7 MR. OXFORD:

8 Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, we met off the record

earlier. My name is Neil Oxford. I'm with

Hughes, Hubbard & Reed and we represent the SIPA 10

11 Trustee.

> As Mr. Gaffey said, he's given you the gift of time and he's asked a lot of questions that I was going to ask, so I will try and not ask any of the questions he's already asked, but

apologize in advance if I do retread some

17 ground.

MR. STERN: He did use the term

19 "gift." It doesn't really feel like a gift,

20 but go ahead.

MR, OXFORD: Perhaps not --

22 A. I wasn't sure what he was referring to

23 when he said that.

24 Q. Perhaps not when he gives it to me,

25 it's not.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 241

Mr. Berkenfeld, you said that you did not recall hearing about any changes in the terms of the deal between the signing of the APA on the 16th and the 19th of September until such time as you got into court?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

Q. Is that a fair statement of your testimony?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

11 A. I think it would be fair to say that I

wasn't aware of significant financial changes to 12 the deal. I knew and, as I testified, that

there were discussions going on around what 14

businesses would be included and some of the 15

16 other non-financial elements, but I didn't know

17 about specific changes to the financial deal, I

18 think, until court. But I don't recall. I

19 don't recall knowing about it beforehand.

Q. Okay. Tell me what you recall learning in court that day on the 19th.

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

22 23 Q. My question is specifically with

respect to the financial changes to the deal as 24 25 reflected in the APA?

2

3

4

8

Page 242

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- A. I think I principally learned about
- 3 the size of the bucket of assets and liabilities
- that were being transferred over. 4
- 5 Q. What --

1

2

9

- 6 A. The amount of them.
- 7 Q. What did you learn about the size of
- 8 the bucket?
  - A. That it had been -- the overall
- 10 quantum had changed from the 72 billion or so to
- 11 the 42 billion or so.
- 12 Q. Did you understand that the balance
- 13 between assets purchased and liabilities assumed
- were still roughly equal as it was under the
- 15 APA?
- 16 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- A. I understood that there was rough 17
- 18 equivalency in the amounts of assets and
- liabilities. Not between the signing and what
- happened at the hearing, but between the assets
- 21 and the liabilities.
- 22 Q. Do you remember which lawyers were in
- 23 court making those disclosures?
- A. I recall Harvey Miller and Lori Fife 24
- 25 presenting to the court.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 244

- 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 was involved, but representatives of the
- 3 creditors.
- 4 Q. Were you present for that meeting?
- 5 A. I was not.
- 6 Q. What were you doing at this time?
- 7 A. I was in the courtroom or in the
- 8 hallways.
- 9 Q. Who else from Lehman was present in
- court? And I'm not talking about outside 10
- 11 counsel at the moment. I'm talking about the
- 12 business people.
- 13 A. I will tell you Hillary Clinton -- not
- 14 Hillary, Chelsea Clinton was there.
- 15 From Lehman, Bart McDade, Jim Seery,
- 16 Mark Shapiro. Mike Konigsberg was there. J.F.
- Astier was there. Kevin Genirs was there. The 17
- last three in more of just an observer role. 18
- 19 Who else was there? I don't recall
- 20 anyone else specifically.
- 21 Q. What role was Mr. McDade there in?
- 22 A. Bart was there as a representative of
- 23 Lehman Brothers and his testimony was being
- 24 proffered to the court.

25

Q. What about Mr. Seery, what role was he

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 243

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

### Q. Do you recall anybody else presenting to the court?

- A. I vaguely recall that there was
- 5 another Weil Gotshal lawyer presenting to the
- 6 court. I don't remember which one. Might have 7
  - been Shai. I may have that wrong.
  - There were certainly presentations to
- 9 the court by a number of lawyers, Mr. Novikoff,
- 10 he presented to the court. There was lawyers
- Creditors Committee. There was lawyers for 11
- 12 SIPAC. I believe there might have been lawyers
- 13 for Hughes Hubbard. I don't recall all of them,
- 14 but there was a lengthy proceeding.
- 15 Q. Do you recall at some point that there 16 was a break in proceedings and Judge Peck went 17 off the record to allow the terms of the deal to
- 18 be explained to the gallery?
- 19 A. I don't recall Judge Peck going off
- 20 the record and him being present.
- 21 Q. Do you remember him going off the
- 22 bench?
- 23 A. I recall him leaving the bench at some
- 24 point and I do recall some meeting between the
- Weil Gotshal lawyers, and I don't know who else

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 245

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 there fulfilling?

1

5

6

- 3 A. I'm not sure Jim was there in any
- 4 formal capacity.
  - Q. Same question for Mr. Shapiro?
  - A. I don't think Mark was there in any
- 7 formal capacity either. I think that both of
- 8 them and myself had been involved in various
- aspects of the transaction, and frankly, that's
- 10 where the action was that day and we felt that
- 11 we wanted to witness it and thought we should be
- 12 there in case it was necessary for us to be
- 13 there.

### 14 Q. On the Barclays side, do you recall 15 who was in court for Barclays?

- 16 A. Barclays' counsel was there from
- Cleary, and I recall that Archie Cox and Michael 17
- 18 Klein were in the courtroom. I don't have a
- 19 recollection of anyone else from Barclays being
- 20 there.
- 21 Q. And where physically in the courtroom 22 were you? Were you in Judge Peck's courtroom?
- 23
- 24 O. Because I understand there was a 25 number of overflow rooms.

4

5

6

14

24

25

1

4

5

7

14

19

Page 246

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 A. Yes, it was a pretty packed

3 courthouse, but I actually went down --

I remember somebody else from Lehman who was there. Chris O'Meara.

-- went down to the courthouse by

subway with Chris O'Meara and Brian Marsal from

8 A&M, and I can't remember how, but somehow we

were able to get into the courtroom. Somebody

10 there kind of reserved a spot for us or so,

11 because it was already pretty full.

12 And if you know the courtroom, if 13 you're looking at the bench, there's a -- some

seats all the way on the right-hand side against

the wall, and we were sitting/standing/leaning

16 over there by the windows.

17 Q. And were you sitting/standing beside 18 the Lehman people or the Barclays people or

19 both?

1

4

5

6

7

20 A. It was just everybody was standing

21 next to everyone. There were not sections.

22 Actually, I think it was pretty close to the

23 Trustee.

24 Q. At any point during the court hearing

25 did you recall a discussion about additional

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 247

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

assets being transferred to Barclays from

3 Lehman?

A. Somewhat, yes.

Q. Tell me what you recall about that, please.

7 A. I recall, and some of this is

8 recollection that might have been refreshed from

reading sections of the transcripts in

10 preparation for deposition, but I recall

11 discussions around some of the, for instance,

12 the subsidiaries that were going, Uruguay and

13 Canada, the IMD business being transferred over.

So maybe I should have drawn a

15 distinction between the question on assets and

16 what I recall, which is more around businesses.

17 O. Just so I understand your answer, do 18 vou have any recollection of a discussion in

19 court about the transfer of additional assets to

20 **Barclays?** 

21 A. Could you clarify what you mean

22 "assets"? Do you mean businesses or do you mean

23 securities positions?

Q. Securities positions.

A. I don't recall a discussion of

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 248

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 security positions, additional security

3 positions being transferred, I don't recall

that.

1

4

5

6

7

9

20

25

Q. Do you recall any discussion about the

value of the deal to Barclays having fallen and that additional assets of any type being

8 transferred to them to compensate them for that?

A. I don't recall that.

10 Q. Fair to say you don't recall any

discussion of assets in Barclays' clearings box 11

being transferred -- I'm sorry, Lehman's

13 clearings box being transferred to Barclays?

14 A. My answer again is I don't recall.

15 Q. Do you recall any discussion about the 16 transfer of any margin at the options clearing

17 corporation?

18 A. I don't --

19 I'm sorry.

Q. Or any other exchange being

2.1 transferred to Barclays?

22 A. I don't recall discussion of that.

23 Again, I don't know whether it was. I just

24 don't recall it.

MR. OXFORD: Could I have what has

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 249

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 been previously marked as Exhibit 11, 3 please.

(Document placed before the witness.)

Q. You have in front of you, Mr.

6 Berkenfeld, what's previously been marked as

Exhibit 11. It's an e-mail from Peter

Schellbach to Daniel Kamensky and a number of

others at Lehman, and the top e-mail is sent on

Saturday, September 20, 12:37 GMT. The e-mail 10

11 below is an e-mail sent from Daniel Kamensky on

12 September 19 at 6:37 P.M. to Eric Felder and

13 others.

# Do you recall seeing this e-mail

15 before?

16 A. No, I have not seen this e-mail

17 before. Let me read it.

18 (Document review.)

A. Okay.

20 Q. Mr. Kamensky did not appear to check 21 his BlackBerry like you did when he got to

22 court?

23 A. I found that some of the people who

24 are used to going to bankruptcy court knew that

you could get around the rules.

7

8

9

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

20

21

Page 250

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Q. Mr. Kamensky says in the third paragraph, "Weil lawyers a bit out of whack, but granted timing here not unexpected. They didn't explain the balance sheet change as well, but then a Barclays lawyer came in and gave a much better explanation."

Does that refresh your recollection about any of the disclosures that were made by either of the Weil lawyers or the Barclays lawyers about the balance sheet changes to the deal?

- 13 A. It does not.
- 14 Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, you said in response 15 to one of Mr. Gaffey's questions that one of the changes you did remember in the deal between the 16
- 17 signing of the APA on September 16th and the 18 19th was that there would be no cash going to
- Barclays, do you remember that?
- 20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

1

2

3

4

5

12

- 21 Q. How is it that you came to understand 22 that that was a change to the business terms of the deal?
- 24 A. I should answer the reason I 25 remembered that was reading through the TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 251

Page 253

- 2 transcript in preparation for depositions, that
- that had changed between signing and the
- hearing. I don't know that I would have had
- 5 independently remembered whether cash had been
  - pulled out or not.
  - Q. Do you remember discussing that change to the deal with anybody?
    - A. I don't recall.
- 10 Q. You don't remember having any 11 discussions about the existence of cash in the 12 deal from the date of the bankruptcy hearing 13 through the signing of the clarification letter?
- 14 A. My recollection from, again, reading 15 the transcript was that the cash that was
- included in the Asset Purchase Agreement as one
- 17 of the purchased assets was pulled out and that 18 was reflected in the clarification letter, and I
- 19 believe it's disclosed in the transcript to the
- 20 court. I don't remember any change in that
- 21 between the hearing and the signing of the
- 22 clarification letter.

23 (Exhibit 48, an e-mail from Monty 24 Forrest to Alastair Blackwell and others,

marked for identification, as of this date.)

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 252

Q. Can you let me know when you've had a chance to read that?

(Document review.)

- A. Okay.
- 6 Q. | Mr. Berkenfeld, you have in front of 7 you what I have marked as Exhibit 48, which is 8 an e-mail from Monty Forrest to Alastair 9 Blackwell and others. You're not on the final 10 chain, but you do appear in the original couple 11 of entries in the chain, and it's those that I

would like to ask you about. For the record, I'll identify this as 13 14 being sent from Mr. Forrest on Sunday, 21st, and says 1:38 A.M., but that's a GMT time, I'll 15

16 represent for the record, so it's 9:38 P.M. on 17 Saturday, the 20th.

18 If you go to the end of the chain, 19 that's what I would like to ask you about.

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. Do you see that Mr. Lowitt sent you an 22 e-mail September 20 at 5:53 A.M. and he sends it
- 23 to you and to Mr. McDade, copying Mr. Tonucci.
- 24 See that?
- 25 A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Q. And it says, "Did the court accept the lockup -- the 15c3 lockup and unencumbered box make it through the BarCap?"

Do you know what that question means, Mr. Berkenfeld?

- A. Not really. I mean vaguely, but no more so than you would.
- Q. Tell me what it means to you.
- 10 A. What it means to me is -- well, not 11 much. About transfer of assets over -- which
- 12 assets could be transferred over to Barclays,
- 13 but I don't understand the details of it and 14 specifically what Ian was asking.
  - Q. Do you know why Mr. Lowitt was asking vou?
- 17 A. He was asking me and Bart, I believe, 18 because we were at the hearing. I mean, I'm 19 assuming 5:53 A.M. is 12 A.M., 1 A.M.
  - Q. Actually, these are in Eastern Standard Time. It's only the last --
- 22 A. Okay. So it would have been early in 23 the morning after the hearing. So he was
- 24 looking for a report on whether this had been
- 25 specifically addressed and resolved by the
  - TSG Reporting Worldwide (877) 702-9580

4

8

9

17

25

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 254

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 court, and I don't recall whether it happened.

Q. Okay. So you don't know the answer to this question sitting here today?

5 A. No, I delegated it to, at least the 6 second part of it about getting the lawyers 7 working on getting it done, to Beth Rudofker.

Q. Do you know why Mr. Lowitt wanted to make sure that the documentation was very tight?

10 A. No, I do not.

1

3

4

8

9

Q. Did you have any conversations with 11

12 Mr. Lowitt about his e-mail?

13 A. No, not that I recall.

Q. Did you have any conversations with 14

15 Mr. McDade about his e-mail?

16 A. No. I did not.

17 Q. You'll see two chains up Beth Rudofker 18 sends an e-mail to you, Saturday, September 20, at 6:11 P.M., do you see that?

20 A. Yes.

1

8

11

21 Q. It says, "Alastair and Neil are

22 working on getting it ringfenced/moved if

needed." Is that Alastair -- is "Alastair"

Alastair Blackwell?

25 A. I would presume so since he is CC'd TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 255

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD above.

3 Q. Okay. Who is Neil?

A. I would assume that Neil is Neil

5 Ullman who is CC'd above.

Q. Do you know why they were working on getting it ringfenced or moved?

A. I do not.

O. Beth Rudofker says she's working on withdrawn -- that Mr. Blackwell and Mr. Ullman 10 are working on getting it ringfenced/moved, if 11 needed, you see that? 12

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Does that suggest to you that there's some uncertainty as to whether or not these 15 assets will be moved to BarCap? 16

A. It doesn't suggest anything to me in 18 particular.

19 Q. Turning again to the OCC margin just 20 briefly, do you recall any discussion with anyone at any time about the inclusion of margin 21 at the OCC or any other exchanges in the 23 transaction with Barclays?

24 A. I don't recall.

> Q. To the best of your knowledge, does TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

> > Page 257

Page 256

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

MR. STERN: Well, I just want to point out that you have marked a Lehman document that arguably contains communications that would be considered attorney work product and potentially attorney-client communications, and I'll state again our

9 position that, to the extent that either LBI or LBHI asks about or discloses partially 10 11 such communications, it's our position that 12 constitutes a subject matter waiver.

13 MR. OXFORD: Your position is noted, 14 Jack. I don't agree that we have waived 15 anything by showing this document to this 16 witness, but we can address the matter if it

becomes an issue later on.

MR. GAFFEY: I should say Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. does not waive any privilege.

MR. STERN: Well, Lehman Brothers Holdings may have through your questions. That's not something we can resolve sitting here today, but I just want to state our position on the record. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD the APA that you signed on the 16th transfer

2 3 that margin to Barclays?

A. I don't really have knowledge on that. 4 5 I'd have to go back and do the same analysis. I don't have any recollection. It just would be 6 7 my reading of the contract.

Q. And what is your reading of the contract, if you have one, on that question of 10 whether or not --

A. I don't have one.

12 Q. If I were to put the APA and the definition of "purchased assets" in front of 14 you, would you be able to give me a reading?

15 A. I don't know.

16 MR. STERN: Neil, I just ask the 17 source of Exhibit 48.

18 MR. OXFORD: Whose file it came from?

19 MR. STERN: Well, as in who produced

20 it.

21 MR. OXFORD: I don't believe it's been 22 produced.

23 MR. STERN: What's the source? Is

24 this from Lehman's files? 25

MR. OXFORD: I think it's from an Iron TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

3

4

5

6

7

10

16

17

24

25

1

6

7

8

21

22

23

24

25

Page 258

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

(Exhibit 49, a document bearing Bates

Nos. BCI-CG00024954 through 24972, marked

for identification, as of this date.)

Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, you have in front of you what I have marked as Exhibit 49, which is a document produced to me by Barclays bearing the Bates range BCI-CG00024954 through 24973.

If you would take a moment to read that document and let me know when you're done. please.

12 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

17

18

13 MR. OXFORD: I have marked a different 14 version that's a black-lined version, which 15 is why I marked it again.

16 A. Okay.

17 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to 18 page number 4 of the black line, which has the 19 Bates range 24967.

Mr. Gaffey, as I mentioned, marked a 21 clean running version of this document and you said that you had no recollection of seeing it before. Do you have any recollection of seeing

24 the black lined version of this?

25 A. I have no specific recollection of any TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 259

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD particular draft of any of the clarification letters.

Q. Understood. Just one question on this, and I presume that you don't have any recollection of subparagraph 2 of clause 8 that reads, "In connection therewith, Purchaser shall receive cash, cash equivalence, bank deposits or similar cash items maintained (A) by or on behalf of LBI pursuant to Rule 15c3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise, or by or on behalf of any clearing agency or 13 clearing organization to collateralize, 14 guarantee, secure (whether as margin, guarantee 15 fund, deposit or in any other form) the obligations of LBI or any other person in an

account maintained by or on behalf of LBI." 18 MR. STERN: The question is, "I 19 presume you don't have any recollection of 20 that subparagraph"?

21 Q. The question is, is my presumption 22 correct? Does this refresh your recollection that you have seen this draft? 23

A. It does not.

Q. Do you have any idea why this language TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 261

Page 260

the clarification letter that was circulated 3

by -- is circulated by Michael Mazzuchi to Isaac 4 Montal with a list of CCs at 6:03 A.M. on

5 Monday, September 22.

> This is approximately two hours before you signed the clarification letter; is that right?

9 A. I don't recall when I signed the 10 clarification letter precisely.

11 Q. Do you recall whether it was sometime 12 early in the morning before the markets 13 closed -- before the markets opened, rather, on 14 Monday, the 22nd?

15 A. I believe that the latest I would have 16 signed it would have been at closing. I don't 17 remember the exact time of closing.

18 O. If you turn to page 4 and to the same 19 paragraph, "Transfer of Customer Accounts," do 20 you see paragraph 8?

A. Yes.

Q. And do you see that the language about margin that I read into the record from the previous draft, Exhibit 49, has been deleted?

A. I'm not comparing this word-for-word TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

was inserted in this draft?

3 A. I do not.

> Q. Did you have any discussions with anyone at any time about the insertion of this language into this draft?

A. Not that I recall.

MR. STERN: Let me just note that that calls for privileged communications.

MR. OXFORD: It calls for a yes --

MR. STERN: No, it calls for

12 privileged communications so I'm just noting 13 for the record that you're asking questions

14 about privilege communications.

MR. OXFORD: I disagree with your 16 interpretation, but we can have that argument at a later stage.

A. Not that I recall.

19 (Exhibit 50, a document bearing Bates

20 Nos. BCI-CG00027138 through 27148, marked

21 for identification, as of this date.)

22 O. You have in front of you, Mr.

23 Berkenfeld, Exhibit 50, which is -- I think

actually Bob did previously mark --

25 BCI-CG0027138 through 148, and it's a version of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

5

19

23

Page 262

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD of the last one -- the last one I read, excuse

3

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

5

6

7

8

12

Q. Would you have Exhibit 49 in front of you and just put them side-by-side, please.

Q. And do you see that the language about margin has been deleted from the 6:03 A.M. draft?

MR. STERN: Which specific phrase are you referring to?

MR. OXFORD: I'm referring to the deletion of the language "or buy or on behalf of any clearing agency or clearing organization to collateralize, guarantee," et cetera.

MR. STERN: You're looking at the redline of Exhibit 49?

MR. OXFORD: Yes. I'm looking at the language that was redlined in Exhibit 49 and does not appear in Exhibit 50. It's a clumsy way to do it, but I don't have a redline, unfortunately.

24 MR. STERN: And again, for my benefit, 25 the precise phrase you're saying was

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 263

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD deleted?

MR. OXFORD: Is the language that appears five lines up in the draft that I marked as Exhibit 49: "Or by or on behalf of any clearing agency or clearing organization to collateralize, guarantee, secure (whether as margin, guarantee fund, deposit, or in any other form) the obligations of LBI or any other person in an account maintained by or on behalf of LBI."

MR. STERN: Okay. Thanks.

13 Q. I apologize for the painful questioning, Mr. Berkenfeld, but you see that 14 the language has been deleted between the draft 15 that's marked as 49 and the draft that's marked 17 as Exhibit 50?

18 A. Yes.

Q. Staying with Exhibit 50, I'll direct 19 you to paragraph 1(a)(ii), which appears on the 20 page 1 and goes over to page 2 of the document. 21

A. Yes.

23 Q. And do you see that that clause reads, 24 "with respect to clauses (a), (d) and (e) of the 25 definition of 'Purchased Assets' in the Original

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 264

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Agreement, instead of items referred to in such clauses," and then it goes on to say, "(A) the securities owned by LBI." Mr. Gaffey asked you about that language.

A. Yes.

Q. I'm just interested in the language at over the page at subsection C. Purchased assets shall include "exchanged-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements." Do you 11 see that phrase?

A. Yes.

13 Q. Do you understand what the business 14 deal was that is reflected in that agreement?

15 A. I do not.

Q. If you could just keep that version, 16 number 50, in front of you, there's one more question and then I'll move on. Could you have in front of you what's previously been marked as 19 20 Exhibit 25, please?

21 MR. STERN: The final clarification 22 letter?

MR. OXFORD: The final version of the 23 clarification letter, Jack. 24

25 A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 265

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 O. If you could turn to page 2 and read 2 just to yourself for the moment subparagraph C that we just read in Exhibit 50. 4

A. Yes.

6 Q. And do you see between the draft 7 that's marked as Exhibit 50 and the final 8 version that you signed that was marked as 9 Exhibit 25, you'll see a parenthetical has been 10 added?

11 A. Yes.

12 O. You see that instead of reading in 13 Exhibit 50 "exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements," it now reads, "exchange-traded derivatives (and any 15 property that may be held to secure obligations 16 under such derivatives) and collateralized 17 18 short-term agreements."

A. I see that, yes.

20 O. Do you see that change? Do you have any understanding of the business deal that is 21 22 reflected in that change?

A. I do not.

Q. I think I'm done with the 24 25 clarification letter, I'm sure you'll be pleased

Page 266 Page 267 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 to know. 2 A. Not that I recall. 3 3 Have you ever heard of a document Q. Do you recall discussing this document called a Transfer and Assumption Agreement, Mr. 4 with anybody prior to your deposition 4 5 Berkenfeld, that was signed between the OCC, 5 preparation? Barclays and the SIPA Trustee in connection with 6 A. I do not. 6 7 7 this transaction? O. That's all I have on that document. 8 8 A. I was very briefly shown that Can you tell me, please, what your document, I believe, in preparation for 9 role was, if any, with respect to the deposition, but I had not seen it before then involvement of DTC in the closing of the 10 10 that I could recall. transaction between Lehman and Barclays? 11 11 Q. I'm just going to mark it and then 12 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 12 13 A. As I testified earlier, I had some 13 move on. 14 involvement with DTC after the closing of the 14 (Exhibit 51, Transfer and Assumption 15 Agreement, marked for identification, as of transaction around the issue of client accounts, 16 customer accounts in transferring positions in 16 this date.) 17 Q. Okay. Mr. Berkenfeld, I have marked 17 that, but prior to the closing, I don't recall any involvement with DTC and DTC -- well, any 18 as Exhibit 51 what I'll represent to you is the 18 involvement with the DTC. I don't recall any. 19 final version of the Transfer and Assumption 20 O. Did you at any point come to Agreement between Barclays Capital, the OCC and 21 understand that DTC wanted Barclays to step into 21 the SIPA Trustee. Is this a document that you saw in preparation for your deposition? 22 Lehman's shoes at the DTC? 23 23 A. I have a vague recollection of that. A. I believe it is. 24 24 Have you seen it at any time prior to Q. Can you tell me what you recall? Q. 25 that? 25 Not that much more than that, other TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 268 Page 269 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 2 than just conversation that had come up that A. I do not. 3 Q. Did you understand that there was a that's what -- and it might have been after -different team negotiating this letter than was 4 it might have been post-closing conversation, 4 negotiating the clarification letter that you 5 too. It might have been around the issue of 6 signed? 6 customer accounts. 7 Q. To the best of your recollection, you A. A different team from? 7

don't recall having any discussions with anyone 8 Q. A different team of personnel, different lawvers? 9 about DTC over the weekend of September 20 and 10 10 September 21? 11 11 A. I do not recall that. know --Q. Do you recall discussing with anybody 12 12 13 a letter agreement between DTC, the Trustee, and 13 O. You just have no idea? A. I don't know. 14 14 Barclays? 15 15 A. I don't recall that. Nos. BCI-EX-(S)-00007181, marked for Q. I'm just going mark it and we can move 16 16 17 17 identification, as of this date.) on. 18 18 (Exhibit 52, a letter dated September 19 19 22, 2008, from DTC, marked for 20 identification, as of this date.) 20 21 21 could take a look at it and let me know when A. Yes. 22 22 Q. Did you ever see that letter before? 23 A. Not that I recall. 23 (Document review.) 24 A. I've read through it, yes. 24 O. Do you ever recall discussing the 25 25 subject matter of this letter with anybody?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

A. I don't know. There could have been some overlap on who was involved in it. I don't

(Exhibit 53, a document bearing Bates

Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, I have put in front of you a one-page document produced to me by Barclays, Bates No. BCI-EX-(S)00007181. If you

you've had a chance to read through it.

Q. Who is Scott Willoughby?

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

1 2

7

8

15

22

23

24

25

Page 270

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. Scott Willoughby is a -- he was an
- 3 attorney at Lehman Brothers who ran our Capital
- Markets Contracts Group, or previously known as
- 5 Transaction Execution Group. So this was a
- 6 documentation effort, and he transferred over to
- 7 Barclays and left the Legal Department there and
- 8 I believe he's working in the Prime Brokerage
- 9 Department, I believe.

1

### 10 Q. And Marlisa - I'm going to murder 11 this -- Vinciguerra?

12 A. Exactly. She was a lawyer at Lehman

- Brothers that was head of the Equities Division 13
- legal effort, legal coverage effort, the general
- counsel of Equities, and she is now employed by
- Barclays and is an attorney for Barclays. 16

MR. STERN: I just want to note for 17

- 18 the record this may be a document that we
- 19 produced inadvertently. It may be 20 privileged communication. I'll just need to
- 21 consult with one of my partners on whether
- 22 we produced this inadvertently and, if so,
- 23 whether it's something we need to claw back.
- 24 MR. OXFORD: Okay.
- 25 MR. STERN: I'll look into that.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 271

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

MR. OXFORD: Once you've done that,

Jack, we can have the appropriate discussion.

MR. STERN: Right.

Q. But, meantime, I'll note for the

7 record, this is an e-mail sent to you, Mr.

8 Berkenfeld, by Mr. Willoughby on Tuesday,

9 September 30, 2008, at 3:49 in the afternoon. I guess that's Greenwich Mean Time, so that's 10

11:49 Eastern Standard Time.

Mr. Willoughby writes to you, "Steven, 13 I have spoken to Paolo Tonucci and Alan Kaplan, an attorney at BarCap, regarding the transfer of OCC positions and required margin from LEH's account at JPMorgan to BarCap. The main open question from Paolo's perspective is whether BarCap is entitled only to the minimum margin necessary to carry the position and as of when that amount is calculated."

He goes on, "He feels that we will 22 need to discuss it with an 'expert' on the APA.

Please let me know if there's someone I should liaise with in Legal with respect to this issue. 24

25 Paolo is waiting on data from Vincent Chiaravino

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 272

2 from BarCap, so we don't have adequate specifics 3 vet. Thanks."

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

MR. STERN: What's the question?

- Q. Do you recall receiving this e-mail?
- 6 A. I don't recall.

1

4

5

- 7 Q. Do you know why Mr. Willoughby would 8 e-mail this question to you?
- A. I'm speculating, but he had reported 9
- to me when he was at Lehman and was looking for 10
- guidance on who might have been closest to these 11
- issues that he can get some interpretation from. 12
- 13 Q. Do you agree with Mr. Willoughby's
- interpretation of what Mr. Tonucci says, that 14
- 15 it's an open question whether BarCap is
- 16 entitled --
- A. | I have --17
- Q. -- to the minimum margin? 18
- A. I have no view on that. I'm sorry. 19
- Q. Do you think Mr. Willoughby is asking 20
- you because he considers you an expert on the 21
- 22 APA?
- 23 A. No, I think --
- 24 MR. STERN: Objection. Objection to
- 25 the form.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 273

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

A. I think he's asking me to direct him

to an expert. That's exactly what it says. 4 Otherwise, he just would have asked me the

5 question. 6

Q. You don't recall discussing this e-mail with anybody?

A. I don't recall discussing it.

9 Q. You don't know what happened to his 10 request?

A. I don't know what happened to his 11 12 request.

13 Q. As best you know, the trail ended 14 cold?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. No, I just don't recall. I would 16

imagine we had a conversation, but I don't 17 18 recall what it was.

19 (Exhibit 54, a document bearing Bates Nos. BCI-EX-(S)-00007197 through 7201, 20 21 marked for identification, as of this date.)

Q. This is the last document I have, Mr. Berkenfeld, marked Exhibit 54, Bates range BCI-EX-(S)-00007197 through 7201.

Could you take a look at it and let me TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

7

8

9

12

14

17

24

2

14

Page 274

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 know when you've had a chance to review it,
- 3 please.

1

4

- (Document review.)
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you recall seeing this document
- 7 before?
- 8 A. I recall the base document. I don't
- 9 precisely recall the handwritten markup to the
- 10 document, but I do recall sending this document
- 11 over to David for his comments.
- 12 Q. Was the base document, as you describe
- 13 it, which appears to be a one-page section of
- 14 prose entitled "General Information Regarding
- 15 the Lehman Transaction" followed by three pages
- 16 of a chart? That's the base document you
- 17 referred to?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Was the base document something you
- 20 created?
- 21 A. It was not.
- 22 Q. Was it something that was created for
- 23 you?

1

- 24 A. It was not created for me at my
- 25 request. It was -- it wasn't created -- I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 275

Page 277

- 2 didn't request it. It was created by someone in
- Barclays Legal, I don't recall who, who then
- circulated it for comments. It was meant to be
- 5 a relatively simple and summary version of what
- 6 was transferred in the transaction.
  - Q. Okay. For what purpose was it sent to you, if you know?
  - A. It was sent to me for my comments.
- 10 Q. And did you have comments on it?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. Did you review it?
- 13 A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you mark it up?
- 15 Yes.
- 16 These are not your markups, correct? 0.
  - A. No, they are not.
- 18 Q. These appear to be Mr. Murgio's
- 19 markup, right?
- 20 A. They appear to be that way.
- 21 Q. Did you mark it up by hand or in some
- 22 electronic form?
- 23 A. By hand.
  - Q. And what did you do with it when you
- 25 marked it up?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 276

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 3 Q. Why did you do that?
- 4 A. Because he was our -- Lehman's counsel
- on the transaction, and I thought a document
- that would be used as a summary of the
- 7 transaction for internal purposes would benefit
- 8 from an outside counsel's review of it and
- 9 outside counsel who have been involved in the
- 10 preparation of the basic transaction documents.
- 11 Q. It appears from the e-mail that you 12 are sending it to yourself at your home account,
- 13 is that an accurate statement?
  - A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you remember why you sent it to 16 yourself at home?
- 17 A. This is GMT.
- 18 O. That's what it says.
- 19 A. So it would have been at -- so it
- 20 would have been at the middle in the afternoon,
- 21 right?
- 22 Q. Yes, 2:34 Eastern.
- 23 A. Yeah, I don't know why I would have
- 24 sent it to my home at that time. I can't
- explain, unless I wasn't in the office that day.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. I sent it back to whoever originally
- 3 prepared it.
- 4 Q. To Barclays' attorney, whose name you 5 don't recall?
- A. It's not the name I don't recall. I 6
- 7 just don't remember who was doing the document
- back then. It's not that I have forgotten their 8
- names. I just don't remember who was the author
- 10 of it. And when I did it, since I marked it up
- by hand, I would have faxed it back to someone,
- 12 but I didn't keep the fax cover page. So I
- 13 didn't do it electronically in an e-mail, as far 14 as I know.
- 15 I just don't remember who was the 16 original author. I know that I marked it up. I
- don't recall whether David's markup was of the
- 18 original document or the document after I had
- 19 already submitted my modifications to it.
- 20 Q. That's my next two questions. Do you 21 recall discussing this document with anyone?
- 22 A. Not specifically, other than saying to
- 23 someone my markup's coming over.
- 24 O. Did you forward it to Mr. Murgio for 25 his comments?
  - TSG Reporting Worldwide (877) 702-9580

2

3

4

10

13

14

15

16

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 MR. OXFORD: I don't have any further 3 questions for you at this time, Mr.

Berkenfeld.

1

4

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

5 **EXAMINATION BY** 

6 MS. TAGGART:

Q. Hi again. I'm Erica Taggart and I represent the Committee. I want to ask you a couple questions about the definition of "purchased assets" and it might help if you get in front of you Exhibit 1, which is the APA. 11

A. Exhibit 1 or Exhibit 11?

Q. I think it's originally Exhibit 1.

Then the schedule at Exhibit 19 and the clarification letter at Exhibit 25.

16 So on the APA, which is Exhibit 1, in 17 particular, in the definition of "purchased assets," I think you answered a lot of questions 18 about Section D, which is about the approximate 20 \$70 billion of government securities, commercial

21 paper, et cetera, and I think that you said that

22 that valuation was an estimate that was 23 reflected on the schedule at Exhibit 19, but

that Exhibit 19 was merely guidance and it

25 didn't define the assets or the value that would

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 278

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

be transferred; is that right?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. Substantially correct, yes.

5 Q. And I think you also said there was --6 it was an estimate of the value of assets that 7 would be transferred as guidance for what was 8 meant in the APA when it referred to purchased 9 assets; does that sound about right?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

11 A. That one I didn't follow as carefully. 12

Q. Well, let me ask this: At the time that you signed the APA, was it your understanding that there had been any selection of assets that were going to be transferred?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

17 A. It was my understanding at the time of 18 signing the APA that there was a sense of the bucket of assets would be transferred, the 20 estimated amount and estimated allocation. I 21 don't know if anyone had figured out specific

22 Cusip numbers at that point.

Q. What was your understanding of what was the sense of the bucket of assets that would 25 be transferred when you signed the APA?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 280

Page 281

Page 279

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 A. It was along the lines as set forth on Exhibit 19. Just by way of example, 40 billion 4 of government securities, 1.1 of commercial 5 paper. 6

Q. Well, was it your understanding that the sense was that the value of those assets would be as described in the value, or that there were assets that were actually picked out that at that time had that value?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

Can we have that reread?

(Record read.)

A. My sense was that it wasn't

15 necessarily that precise; that someone could

16 create a specific schedule by Cusip and by

17 specific attributable value. I think that there

18 was a good idea of the assets that would 19

comprise the 70 billion, and that there was

probably more specificity in some of these 21 categories than others, but I didn't get the

22 sense that anyone was in a position at that

23 point to say here are the specific securities.

24 Q. Where did your sense come from? 25

A. Came from the discussions around the TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD table.

Q. Do you remember what anyone said in particular about the bucket of assets that had been considered at that time?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. I don't remember anything specific.

Q. And do you remember anything specific that was said about the value of the assets beyond what we see in just Exhibit 19?

11 A. No, I don't remember anything 12 specific.

13 Q. Was there a determination when you 14 signed the APA that the assets would be roughly 15 equal to the liabilities that Barclays was 16 assuming?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

18 A. When I signed the Asset Purchase 19 Agreement, the understanding was that there 20 would be purchased assets, transferred assets 21 that had a book value as of that date of

22 approximately 70 million, and that there would

23 be liabilities transferred, assumed liabilities,

24 of approximately -- I'm just trying to remember 25 if I put 69 or 68 -- 69 billion, and that there

9

10

11

Page 282

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 would be other liabilities that were assumed by
- 3 Barclays in the transaction. And of course
- there were other -- there was other
- 5 consideration that was being paid.
  - Q. When was the first time that you think that assets that were going to be transferred were determined?
- 9 A. The specific assets that were going to 10 be transferred?
- 11

1

6

7

8

- Q. Yes.
  A. I don't know. 12
- 13 Q. Do you think that that happened before or after the September 19 court sale hearing? 14
- 15 A. Given everything that happened over 16 the weekend, the specific assets I would think
- 17 might have happened afterwards, but I don't
- 18 know.

1

2

8

9

11

- 19 Q. We talked about how you learned at the 20 court hearing how the value of assets that would be transferred had gone down between the signing of the APA and the court hearing, right? 22
- 23 A. I said that that's the first time that 24 I recall knowing that the aggregate value would
- go down. I think you said the value of the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 284

3

4

9

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD and the Friday court hearing?

3 A. My understanding was based on a number 4 of things, including difference in the value, 5 and not any information or knowledge of any reassessment of the value or a mark of that --7 of all of those positions.

- Q. And between the time that you signed the APA and the court hearing, did you discuss with anyone about what was the current bucket of assets that were going to be transferred in the
- 12 agreement that you signed? 13 A. I don't recall a conversation along
- 14 those lines. 15 Q. I think you also mentioned -- there
- 16 was a lot of discussion about how Exhibit 19 had guidance but was not actually part of the APA, 18 right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And that it was the APA itself that
- 21 was going to govern what was being transferred
- 22 as far as the assets, right?
- 23 A. As of the date of the signing of the
- 24 APA, yes.

25

Q. What criteria do you believe is in the TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 283

1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD assets had gone down. I didn't know if the

3 value of the assets had gone down. It was along

the lines of a question that had been asked 5

before. You asked the question as though 6

valuation had come down. 7

Q. What was your understanding of what went down from a 70-something billion figure to a 40-something billion figure between the signing of the APA and the court hearing?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

12 A. It was not my understanding that a 13 bucket of assets that on Tuesday were believed 14 to be worth 70 billion were now worth 42 billion 15 or so, whatever the number was. So I think that 16 answers your question. 17

I don't think that there was a loss of 18 value of 28 billion of the same securities. I 19 think that the securities that were being 20 transferred over were a different bucket. Some 21 of it might have been around value. Some of it 22 might have been around what was in the bucket. 23

Q. What's your understanding based on 24 that there was a bucket of securities that had changed between the Tuesday signing of the APA

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 285

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

APA about what assets were agreed to be transferred?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

- 5 A. I haven't gone back and read the whole agreement, but as far as I know, it's the 6 7 definition of "purchased assets" in this 8 particular case, subparagraph D.
- Q. Well, do you believe that there was an 10 agreement that the assets that would be 11 transferred, whatever they were, would 12 approximate a value of \$70 billion?
- 13 A. You want to repeat the question? 14 I can read it off the screen. 15 (LiveNote review.)

16

A. My understanding was that there was an agreement that the purchased assets would have 18 an estimated value at the time of the Asset

19 Purchase Agreement of \$70 billion.

20 Q. So you believe when you were signing 21 the APA Lehman was agreeing to transfer assets 22 that had a value as of the date of the APA of 23

24 A. I believe that that was the estimated 25 value of the assets that were going to be TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

8

25

1

4

8

9

10

11

Page 286

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD transferred over, yes.

Q. And you believed that was -- that actually was the estimated value, but I kind of want to know what was agreed to be binding.

Let me ask it this way: I think you're saying there weren't ever schedules or there weren't schedules for the APA that said exactly what securities were going to be transferred, right?

A. No, there were not. 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

22

Q. But was there agreement that whatever 13 those assets that would be transferred would be valued at \$70 billion as of the value -- sorry as of the date that you signed the APA?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

I just think, in fairness to the witness, since you're asking him about terms of APA, he should be allowed to refer to the APA.

MS. TAGGART: He may refer to the APA.

21 22 A. I'm sorry, the problem I have with your question is it's a little unclear. So let me restate it. I think the understanding was that there would be assets transferred that had

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 288

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD assets to be transferred.

Q. So when you signed it, do you believe that there is any limitation in the APA that would govern exactly what assets are going to be transferred?

7 A. | Any limitation?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. Yes, I think there's some limitations on the assets that would be transferred. As I 10 mentioned before, I don't think there was the 11 12 ability to transfer 70 billion worth of corporate equity or 70 billion worth of 14 derivatives. I think there was a sense that

15 this bucket of 70 billion would approximate the allocations that were set forth on the schedule. 16

17 By the way, I should just note we 18 talked about 70 in the schedules. It's 72.65. We went through that before. But we'll just for 20 ease of reference make it 70 billion in this 21 subparagraph D.

Q. Yeah, we'll just focus on the 23 subparagraph D, which is the 70 billion. And I 24 think that you just said that you do believe as part of the APA that there would be an

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 287

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 an estimated book value as of the date of the

3 APA of 70 billion. I think you may have asked

4 the question was there an agreement that \$70

5 billion worth of assets were transferred -- I'm

6 adding the words "at closing," you didn't say

7 that, and that's not correct.

### Q. Right.

9 A. It was that there was a bucket of 10 assets that were going to be transferred that, 11 as of the date of the Asset Purchase Agreement, had a value of approximately 70 billion. What 13 their value might have been whenever the closing 14 occurred, that was not something that the APA 15 addressed.

### 16 Q. So the APA you think does not specify 17 what the value of the assets has to be upon 18 closing, right?

19 A. I don't believe it says that there has 20 to be -- that this bucket of assets has to 21 retain its value at 70 billion.

22 Q. And it's your understanding the APA 23 also does not say what particular assets need to be transferred by closing, right? 24

> A. The APA does not specify the specific TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

> > Page 289

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD approximate allocation that was the same as

3 Exhibit 19?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

5 A. It was my understanding that there 6 would be a transfer of assets that approximated 7 the allocations on Exhibit 19.

Q. Are there any other criteria that you believe were contractual criteria that were agreed to in the APA as to what assets would be transferred from Lehman to Barclays?

12 A. When you're referring to assets, you mean assets of the type in D? Not all -- not furniture and equipment and all the other 15 assets, you mean these long positions as 16 defined?

### 17 O. Yes.

A. I have not read through the agreement 18 19 again. At this point in time, I'm not aware of any other provision, but there could be 21 something in here in the reps and warranties or 22 some other provision that might also be 23

24 O. Now, for the clarification letter that 25 you ultimate signed, you know that there were

8

9

10

Page 290

Page 291

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD attached schedules, a Schedule A and B, that is referred to in Section 1(ii), right?

- A. So I understand, yes.
- 5 Q. And I believe you testified you never 6 saw those schedules?
  - A. I don't recall seeing the schedules.
  - Q. Do you know when they were prepared?
- 9 A. I don't know when they were prepared
- 10 and I did not testify I never saw them. I just
- don't recall whether I saw them. 11
- 12 Q. Do you know whether they existed 13 before you signed the clarification letter?
  - A. I do not recall.
- 15 Q. Did you ever see any schedule that 16 actually listed assets that would be
- 17 transferred?

1

2

3

4

7

8

14

1

4

5

6

16

22

- 18 A. I think in one of the exhibits earlier
- 19 today I saw -- it's probably this big fat one
- 20 that showed me a bunch of securities. I don't
- 21 recall whether I had seen lists earlier.
- 22 Q. Do you know whether the list, the
- 23 first time there was any list of assets that
- 24 would be transferred, do you know whether that
- 25 was in existence before or after the court

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

hearing on September 19?

- A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. Do you know whether there was any selection of any assets that were being valued at the time of the court hearing on September 7 19?
  - MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
  - A. I don't know.
  - Q. The final deal is reflected with the
- 11 clarification letter. Do you have an
- 12 understanding of what was the value of the
- 13 assets that actually were transferred from
- 14 Lehman to Barclays?
- 15 A. I don't think I do have direct
- 16 knowledge of that.
- 17 Q. Did you ask before you signed the 18 clarification letter what were the value of the
- 19 assets that Lehman was transferring?
- 20 A. I do not recall asking that question.
- 21 I recall the statement made in court by Weil
- 22 Gotshal about the value of the assets were being
- 23 transferred. I do not know the basis for the
- 24 statement made by Weil, and I do know that I had
- 25 the confirmation from the attorneys at Weil that

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 292

1

Page 293

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 this reflected the agreement that had been 3 reached.
  - Q. Do you know whether the value of the
  - assets that were transferred ultimately, as reflected in the clarification letter and
- 7 schedules, was more or less than what was told
- 8 to the court on the 19th?
- 9 I have no idea. Α.
- 10 Q. Do you know if ultimately the assets
- that were transferred from Lehman had a value 11
- 12 that was equal to the liabilities that were
- 13 assumed by Barclays in the final deal?
- 14 A. Let me just read through the question 15 again.
  - I do not know.
- 17 Q. Did you ask anyone whether anything
- about the relationship between the assets that 19 would be transferred and the liabilities assumed
- 20 before you agreed to sign the clarification
- 21 letter?
  - MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 23 A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Do you remember asking at any time 24 25 after signing the APA whether the assets that
  - TSG Reporting Worldwide (877) 702-9580

- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL S. BERKENFELD
- were contemplated would be transferred to
- 3 Barclays were -- what the relationship was
- 4 between that value and the value of the
- liabilities that Barclays was assuming?
- 6 A. I don't recall a conversation with
- 7 anyone specifically about how the assets
- 8 measured against the liabilities after the
- 9 signing of the APA.
- 10 Q. And when you signed the clarification 11
- letter, it's your recollection you never asked whether the assets were matching the
- 13 liabilities?
- 14 A. I don't recall any conversation about
- 15 a matched book or whether the assets matched
- liabilities. 16
- 17 Q. And is it right you've never seen any 18 valuation of the assets that were actually
- 19 transferred?
- 20 A. I don't recall ever seeing a valuation
- 21 of the assets that were actually transferred.
- 22 Q. Do you know whether there was any
- 23 valuation that happened of the assets being
- 24 transferred following the September 19 hearing? 25
  - A. I don't know.
    - TSG Reporting Worldwide (877) 702-9580

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

22

25

Page 294

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- Q. And do you know whether the -- I think I asked this, but I'll make sure: Do you know whether the assets that were ultimately transferred had a value that was greater or less than what was represented to the court on the
- A. I don't know.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

17

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19th?

- 9 Q. Do you know who would know that?
- 10 A. I think that Paolo Tonucci and Martin
- 11 Kelly would be a good place to start. Weil
- 12 Gotshal may know that.
- 13 Q. Do you have any reason to think that 14 the value of assets would have changed between a 15 Friday hearing and the signing of the 16 clarification letter?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

18 A. Do I have any reason to think that the 19 value would have changed? Well, there were 20 quite a few discussions over that weekend around

- the financing and JPMorgan, and so would I have
- 22 a reason to think there might have been a change
- 23 in the value? I'd have a reason to think there 24 might have been. I have no knowledge whether
- 25 there was and how all of those discussions over

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 295

1 2 the weekend to get to closing actually affected

3 the value of the securities, but I would have

reason to think that there may have been some 5 change.

Q. Was there any factors that would have changed the market value of an asset between the closing of the market on the end of the day on Friday and before the market opened in the morning of Monday?

11 A. The market value of the assets? Yeah, 12 I think there probably were some factors, 13 including that the closing of the deal happened 14 before the market opened in New York but not 15 before the market opened in Asia and Europe.

16 So I don't know -- and there may have 17 been other events that happened over the 18 weekend. There were other events that were 19 happening over the weekend politically from a 20 regulatory standpoint that also might have 21 affected the value of things, like U.S. 22 treasuries.

Q. Did Lehman have a pattern and practice of marking the value of securities on a daily basis when the market was opened?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 296

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 A. Yes, Lehman had that practice.

Q. And did it have any practice of changing those marks when the market was closed over the weekend?

A. I am not familiar enough to know what the full practice of our marking of our books and records to know the timing of when positions were marked and whether positions were revised over the weekend. I don't know.

I would comment that our normal practices did not contemplate the volatility that was in the market during this period of

Q. I think you also said when Mr. Gaffey was questioning that you had no intention when you signed the APA that Barclays was receiving an embedded gain in the assets?

MR. STERN: Objection.

Q. Is that correct?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

22 A. I don't recall exactly what I said,

- 23 but I said that it was not my understanding with
- everyone sitting around the table that there was
- 25 a common held view that there were assets being

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 297

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 transferred to Barclays to create some sort 3 of -- at a discount to create some sort of 4 embedded gain.

### Q. Is the same true when you signed the clarification letter?

7 A. As far as I know, when the 8 clarification letter was signed, the -- all of 9 the people around the room, all of the attorneys 10 involved did not have an understanding that 11 there was an intent to transfer a discount, an 12 embedded gain to Barclays. 13

Q. And you, in particular, as the person who signs the clarification letter, did you believe that there was any intent to transfer a discount for the assets that were going to Barclays?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

19 A. I did not believe that there was any 20 intent to transfer securities at a discount to 21 create a gain for Barclays.

> MS. TAGGART: That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

23 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(Discussion off the record.)

Page 298 Page 299 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 **EXAMINATION BY** 2 that there was a working draft in existence at 3 MR. GAFFEY: 6:03 A.M. on Monday morning refresh your 4 Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, Exhibit 50 reflects recollection as to whether you signed the 5 that there were -- there was still drafting clarification letter on Sunday night or Monday 6 going on with respect to the clarification at the closing? 7 letter at 6:03 A.M. on Monday, September 22. Do 7 A. I don't recall specifically, but if 8 you see that? Just based on the date of the you ask for my best recollection, it would have 9 9 e-mail. been at about the time of the closing. 10 MR. STERN: I'll object to the form. 10 Q. And Ms. Taggart asked you about 11 That's a statement. Are you asking him if 11 Lehman's pattern and practice with respect to 12 12 he agrees? marking securities. Do you know whether all 13 MR. GAFFEY: Yes. 13 types of securities were marked every day? 14 MR. STERN: That there was still 14 A. I do know that not all types of 15 drafting going on? 15 securities were marked every day. We did not A. I don't know enough about what form 16 16 mark our entire book of securities on a daily 17 Michael sent over to this group, which was a 17 basis. We owned lots of level 3 securities, group that was a different distribution group, 18 esoteric securities, and so we didn't go through included SIPAC and Hughes Hubbard, so I don't 19 a daily process of marking those. Some of those know if he was sending him the latest version of 20 positions would be marked on a monthly or even a 21 the agreement at the time or if he was quarterly basis. sending -- it said current version, but it could 22 MR. GAFFEY: I have nothing else. 23 have been a draft that was hours old. I don't 23 EXAMINATION BY 24 24 know. MR. STERN: 25 25 Q. Does the suggestion of this document Q. You were asked some questions about TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 300 Page 301 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 2 A. I have no knowledge. whether --3 MR. STERN: I have nothing else. 3 A. Are you allowed to ask me questions? 4 MR. GAFFEY: Thanks for your time. 4 O. I am, sir. 5 (Time Noted: 4:57 P.M.) 5 You were asked some questions about 6 whether there was an intent to transfer an 7 7 embedded gain and an intent to transfer 8 8 securities at a discount, and I believe, correct 9 9 me if I'm wrong, that you testified that you 10 11 were not aware that all the parties around the 12 table had any understanding, any common 11 13 12 understanding, that the values were such that 14 13 there was a discount or an embedded gain. Can 15 you explain what you mean by that? 16 15 A. In terms of the security positions, it 17 16 was my understanding that everyone sitting 18 around the table preparing the agreement, the 19 STEVEN BERKENFELD lawyers for the two parties at the time, were 20 not of the common understanding that part of the 21 Subscribed and sworn to 20 transaction was to deliver securities at a before me this 21 discount to their then value to Barclays. 22 of 2009. 22 Q. And do you have any knowledge 23 23 concerning whether there was any discussion 24 among the business term negotiators concerning 24 the possibility of such a discount? 25 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

	Page 302		Page 303
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD
2	CERTIFICATE	2	INDEX
3	STATE OF NEW YORK )	3	WITNESS: EXAMINATION BY PAGE
	: SS	4	S. BERKENFELD Mr. Gaffey 5, 298
4	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	5	Mr. Oxford 240
5	I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered	6	Ms. Taggart 278
6	Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and	7	Mr. Stern 299
7 8	for the State of New York, do hereby certify:	8	EXHIBITS: PAGE
9	That STEVEN BERKENFELD, the witness	9	Exhibit 17, a document bearing Bates 20
10	whose deposition is herein before set forth,	10	Nos. BCI-EX-00077288 through 77290
11	was duly sworn by me and that such	11	Exhibit 18, a document bearing Bates Nos. 43
12	deposition is a true record of the testimony	12	BCI-CG00033789
13	given by such witness.	13	Exhibit 19, one-page document, showing 43
14	I further certify that I am not	14	columns of assets and liabilities, dated
15	related to any of the parties to this action	15	9/16/2008 at 11:18 A.M.
16	by blood or marriage and that I am in no way	16	Exhibit 20, a document bearing Bates 67
17 18	interested in the outcome of this matter,	17	Nos. 132841
19	I further certify that neither the	18	Exhibit 21, a document bearing Bates 73
20	deponent nor a party requested a review of the transcript pursuant to Federal Rule of	19	Nos. 10309627
21	Civil Procedure 30(e) before the deposition	20	Exhibit 22, Barclays PLC Results 125
22	was completed.	21	Announcement, Figures 2008
23	In witness whereof, I have hereunto	22	Exhibit 23, a document bearing Bates 129
24	set my hand this 6th day of August, 2009.	23	Nos. 70283
25		24	Exhibit 24, First Amendment to the Asset 135
l	KATHY S. KLEPFER, RPR, RMR, CRR, CLR	25	Purchase Agreement
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580
	Page 304		Page 305
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD	1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD
2	INDEX (Cont'd.)	2	INDEX (Cont'd.)
3	EXHIBITS: PAGE	3	EXHIBITS: PAGE
4	Exhibit 25, a letter dated September 135	4	Exhibit 36, a document bearing Bates 184
5	20, 2008	5	Nos. BCI-CG00024252 through 24271
6	Exhibit 26, Notice of Filing of 159	6	Exhibit 37, a document bearing Bates 184
7	Purchase Agreement	7	Nos. BCI-CG00024954 through 24973
8	Exhibit 28, a document bearing Bates 183	8	Exhibit 38, a document bearing Bates 184
9	Nos. BCI-CG00011047 through 11050	9	Nos. 10283756 with attachments
1.0	Exhibit 29, a document bearing Bates 183	10	Exhibit 39, e-mail from S. Berkenfeld 200
11	Nos. 10284821 through 10279830	11	to Beth Rudofker dated Saturday, September
12	Exhibit 30, an e-mail string, the first 183	12	20, at 1:07 P.M.
13	of which from P. Dowd to A. Keller, et al.	13	Exhibit 40, a document bearing Bates 204
14 15	Exhibit 31, a document bearing Bates 183 Nos. 10279029 through 10279862	14 15	Nos. STB-LEH0000272 through 277 Exhibit 41, a document bearing Bates 208
16	Exhibit 32, a document bearing Bates 183	16	Exhibit 41, a document bearing Bates 208 Nos. 10266839 with attachment
17	Nos. BCI-EX-00059913 through 59929	17	Exhibit 42, a document bearing Bates 221
18	Exhibit 33, a document bearing Bates 183	18	Nos. 10283125 with attachment
19	Nos. 10284801 through 10286514	19	Exhibit 43, a document bearing Bates 224
20	Exhibit 34, a document bearing Bates 183	20	Nos. 10270558
21	Nos. 10279028 through 10279851	21	Exhibit 44, a document bearing Bates 227
22	Exhibit 35, a document bearing Bates 184	22	Nos. 459686
23	Nos. 10284822 and 10279863 through	23	11001 107000
24	10279864	24	
25	204.7001	25	
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580
<u> </u>			

Page 306				
1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD				
2 INDEX (Cont'd.)				
3 EXHIBITS: PAGE				
Exhibit 45, a one-page e-mail from Ian 229				
5 Lowitt to Steven Berkenfeld, Bart McDade, 6 Alex Kirk, Paolo Tonucci, CC to James				
6 Alex Kirk, Paolo Tonucci, CC to James 7 Seery, dated 9/22/08 at 7:23 A.M. entitled				
8 "Looks Like We're All Set"				
9 Exhibit 46, a document bearing Bates 233				
10 Nos. BCI-CG00034704 through 34707				
11 Exhibit 47, a document bearing Bates 235				
<ul><li>Nos. BCI-CG00035134 through 35955</li><li>Exhibit 48, an e-mail from Monty Forrest 251</li></ul>				
14 to Alastair Blackwell and others				
15 Exhibit 49, a document bearing Bates Nos. 258				
16 BCI-CG00024954 through 24972				
17 Exhibit 50, a document bearing Bates Nos. 260				
18 BCI-CG00027138 through 27148				
<ul><li>Exhibit 51, Transfer and Assumption Agrmt. 266</li><li>Exhibit 52, a letter dated September 22, 268</li></ul>				
20 Exhibit 52, a letter dated September 22, 208 21 2008, from DTC				
22 Exhibit 53, a document bearing Bates Nos. 269				
23 BCI-EX-(S)-00007181				
24 Exhibit 54, a document bearing Bates Nos. 273				
25 BCI-EX-(S)-00007197 through 7201				
TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580				
:				
	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT			
'				
*	To the second se			
+				
t.				
:	**************************************			
,				
'				